

Corrections for the paper  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnt.2020.01.010>

## 1 Definitions

Recall the definition of  $\tilde{\Sigma}$  (Equation (6) on page 50 of the paper)

$$\tilde{\Sigma}((\alpha, a), (\beta, b), (\gamma, c)) = \check{K}(\alpha\beta).$$

By the definitions of  $\otimes$  on page 50 and of  $L_\sigma$  and  $R_\sigma$  on page 36 we have

$$\begin{aligned} L_\otimes((\alpha, a), (\beta, b), (\gamma, c)) &= \left( (\alpha, a), \otimes((\alpha, a), (\beta, b), (\gamma, c)), (\beta, b) \right) \\ &= \left( (\alpha, a), \left( \alpha\beta, \tilde{\Sigma}((\alpha, a), (\beta, b), (\gamma, c)) \right), (\beta, b) \right) \\ &= \left( (\alpha, a), (\alpha\beta, \check{K}(\alpha\beta)), (\beta, b) \right), \\ R_\otimes((\alpha, a), (\beta, b), (\gamma, c)) &= \left( (\alpha, a), \otimes((\beta, b), (\gamma, c), (\alpha, a)), (\beta, b) \right) \\ &= \left( (\beta, b), \left( \beta\gamma, \tilde{\Sigma}((\beta, b), (\gamma, c), (\alpha, a)) \right), (\gamma, c) \right) \\ &= \left( (\beta, b), (\beta\gamma, \check{K}(\beta\gamma)), (\gamma, c) \right). \end{aligned}$$

## 2 Corollary 7.19

Corollary 7.19 says

$$\tilde{\Sigma}\left((\alpha, a), (\alpha\beta, \check{K}(\alpha\beta)), (\beta, b)\right) = \frac{\check{K}(\alpha^2)}{\check{K}(\alpha^2)} \check{K}(\alpha\beta) - \check{K}(\beta).$$

This misleading since this formula holds only for a triple formed by concatenation

$$\left( (\alpha, a), (\alpha\beta, \check{K}(\alpha\beta)), (\beta, b) \right).$$

This formula does not work for an arbitrary triple

$$\left( (\alpha, a), (\beta, b), (\gamma, c) \right).$$

It should read like this

**Corollary** (Corollary 7.19). Let  $a = \check{K}(a)$ ,  $b = \check{K}(\alpha\beta)$ , and  $c = \check{K}(\beta)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\Sigma}((\alpha, a), (\alpha\beta, b), (\beta, c)) &= \frac{\check{K}(\alpha^2)}{\check{K}(\alpha)}\check{K}(\alpha\beta) - \check{K}(\beta) \\ &= \frac{\check{K}(\alpha^2)}{\check{K}(\alpha)}b - c,\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\Sigma}((\alpha\beta, b), (\beta, c), (\alpha, a)) &= \frac{\check{K}(\beta^2)}{\check{K}(\beta)}\check{K}(\alpha\beta) - \check{K}(\alpha) \\ &= \frac{\check{K}(\beta^2)}{\check{K}(\beta)}b - a.\end{aligned}$$

From this, the last line in Remark 6.19 should read

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\Sigma}((\alpha, a), (\alpha\beta, b), (\beta, c)) &= \Sigma(a, b, c) = 3ab - c, \\ \tilde{\Sigma}((\alpha\beta, b), (\beta, c), (\alpha, a)) &= \Sigma(b, c, a) = 3cb - a.\end{aligned}$$

### 3 Examples

With this corrected corollary in place we give an example. We use the following notation for a triple  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$

$$P(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (\check{K}(\alpha), \check{K}(\beta), \check{K}(\gamma)).$$

**Example 1.** Let  $\alpha = (1, 1, 1, 1)$  and  $\beta = (2, 2)$ . For the triple  $(\alpha, \alpha\beta, \beta)$  we have

$$P(\alpha, \alpha\beta, \beta) = (3, 13, 2).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}P(L(\alpha, \alpha\beta, \beta)) &= P(\alpha, \alpha\alpha\beta, \alpha\beta) = (3, 89, 13), \\ P(R(\alpha, \alpha\beta, \beta)) &= P(\alpha\beta, \alpha\beta\beta, \beta) = (13, 75, 2).\end{aligned}$$

Further,

$$\begin{aligned}P(L^2(\alpha, \alpha\beta, \beta)) &= P(\alpha, \alpha\alpha\alpha\beta, \alpha\alpha\beta) = (3, 610, 89), \\ P(RL(\alpha, \alpha\beta, \beta)) &= P(\alpha\alpha\beta, \alpha\alpha\beta\alpha\beta, \alpha\beta) = (89, 3468, 13), \\ P(LR(\alpha, \alpha\beta, \beta)) &= P(\alpha\beta, \alpha\beta\alpha\beta\beta, \alpha\beta\beta) = (13, 2923, 75), \\ P(R^2(\alpha, \alpha\beta, \beta)) &= P(\alpha\beta\beta, \alpha\beta\beta\beta, \beta) = (75, 437, 2).\end{aligned}$$

Now we use  $\tilde{\Sigma}$ . First note that

$$\frac{\check{K}(\alpha^2)}{\check{K}(\alpha)} = 7, \quad \frac{\check{K}(\beta^2)}{\check{K}(\beta)} = 6.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
L_{\otimes}((\alpha, 3), (\alpha\beta, 13), (\beta, 2)) &= \left( (\alpha, 3), \left( \alpha\alpha\beta, \tilde{\Sigma}((\alpha, 3), (\alpha\beta, 13), (\beta, 2)) \right), (\alpha\beta, 13) \right) \\
&= \left( (\alpha, 3), \left( \alpha\alpha\beta, \frac{\check{K}(\alpha^2)}{\check{K}(\alpha)} 13 - 2 \right), (\alpha\beta, 13) \right) \\
&= \left( (\alpha, 3), (\alpha\alpha\beta, 7 \cdot 13 - 2 = 89), (\alpha\beta, 13) \right), \\
R_{\otimes}((\alpha, 3), (\alpha\beta, 13), (\beta, 2)) &= \left( (\alpha\beta, 13), \left( \alpha\beta\beta, \tilde{\Sigma}((\alpha\beta, 13), (\beta, 2), (\alpha, 3)) \right), (\beta, 2) \right) \\
&= \left( (\alpha\beta, 13), \left( \alpha\beta\beta, \frac{\check{K}(\beta^2)}{\check{K}(\beta)} 13 - 3 \right), (\beta, 2) \right) \\
&= \left( (\alpha\beta, 13), (\alpha\beta\beta, 6 \cdot 13 - 3 = 75), (\beta, 2) \right),
\end{aligned}$$

For the next triples we just calculate  $\tilde{\Sigma}$ . We have

$$\frac{\check{K}(\alpha\beta\alpha\beta)}{\check{K}(\alpha\beta)} = 39.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\left( \alpha\alpha\alpha\beta, \tilde{\Sigma}((\alpha, 3), (\alpha\alpha\beta, 89), (\alpha\beta, 13)) \right) &= \left( \alpha\alpha\alpha\beta, \frac{\check{K}(\alpha^2)}{\check{K}(\alpha)} \check{K}(\alpha\alpha\beta) - \check{K}(\alpha\beta) \right) \\
&= (\alpha\alpha\alpha\beta, 7 \cdot 89 - 13 = 610), \\
\left( \alpha\alpha\beta\alpha\beta, \tilde{\Sigma}((\alpha\alpha\beta, 89), (\alpha\beta, 13), (\alpha, 3)) \right) &= \left( \alpha\alpha\beta\alpha\beta, \frac{\check{K}(\alpha\beta\alpha\beta)}{\check{K}(\alpha\beta)} \check{K}(\alpha\alpha\beta) - \check{K}(\alpha) \right) \\
&= (\alpha\alpha\beta\alpha\beta, 39 \cdot 89 - 3 = 3468), \\
\left( \alpha\beta\alpha\beta\beta, \tilde{\Sigma}((\alpha\beta, 13), (\alpha\beta\beta, 75), (\beta, 2)) \right) &= \left( \alpha\beta\alpha\beta\beta, \frac{\check{K}(\alpha\beta\alpha\beta)}{\check{K}(\alpha\beta)} \check{K}(\alpha\beta\beta) - \check{K}(\beta) \right) \\
&= (\alpha\alpha\alpha\beta, 39 \cdot 75 - 2 = 2923), \\
\left( \alpha\beta\beta\beta, \tilde{\Sigma}((\alpha\beta\beta, 75), (\beta, 2), (\alpha\beta, 13)) \right) &= \left( \alpha\beta\beta\beta, \frac{\check{K}(\beta^2)}{\check{K}(\beta)} \check{K}(\alpha\beta\beta) - \check{K}(\alpha\beta) \right) \\
&= (\alpha\alpha\alpha\beta, 6 \cdot 75 - 13 = 437),
\end{aligned}$$

## 4 Theorem 7.15

We change this theorem to the following.

**Theorem** (Theorem 7.15). *Let  $n$  be a positive even integer. Let  $m$  and  $r$  be non-negative integers such that  $m + r > 0$ . Let  $\alpha$ ,  $\lambda$ , and  $\rho$  be the following sequences of positive integers*

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha &= (a_1, \dots, a_n), \\
\lambda &= (b_1, \dots, b_m), \\
\rho &= (c_1, \dots, c_r).
\end{aligned}$$

Then we have that

$$\frac{\check{K}(\alpha^2)}{\check{K}(\alpha)} = \frac{\check{K}(\lambda\alpha^2\rho) + \check{K}(\lambda\rho)}{\check{K}(\lambda\alpha\rho)}. \quad (1)$$

*Proof.* **The proof when  $m$  and  $r$  are both positive is the same as in the paper.** Let  $\rho = ()$ . Equation (11) becomes

$$K(\alpha)\check{K}(\lambda\alpha) + K_2^{n-1}(\alpha)\check{K}(\lambda\alpha) - \check{K}(\lambda\alpha^2) - \check{K}(\lambda) = 0.$$

Substituting

$$\check{K}(\lambda\alpha^2) = K(\lambda\alpha)\check{K}(\alpha) + \check{K}(\lambda\alpha)K_2^{n-1}(\alpha)$$

into this equation we get

$$K(\alpha)\check{K}(\lambda\alpha) + K_2^{n-1}(\alpha)\check{K}(\lambda\alpha) - K(\lambda\alpha)\check{K}(\alpha) - \check{K}(\lambda\alpha)K_2^{n-1}(\alpha) - \check{K}(\lambda) = 0$$

which, after cancelling terms, becomes

$$K(\alpha)\check{K}(\lambda\alpha) - K(\lambda\alpha)\check{K}(\alpha) - \check{K}(\lambda) = 0.$$

Into this equation we substitute the equalities

$$\check{K}(\lambda\alpha) = K(\lambda)\check{K}(\alpha) + \check{K}(\lambda)K_2^{n-1}(\alpha),$$

$$K(\lambda\alpha) = K(\lambda)K(\alpha) + \check{K}(\lambda)K_2^n(\alpha),$$

from which we get

$$\begin{aligned} & K(\alpha)K(\lambda)\check{K}(\alpha) + K(\alpha)\check{K}(\lambda)K_2^{n-1}(\alpha) \\ & - \check{K}(\alpha)K(\lambda)K(\alpha) - \check{K}(\alpha)\check{K}(\lambda)K_2^n(\alpha) - \check{K}(\lambda) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Cancelling terms this becomes

$$K(\alpha)\check{K}(\lambda)K_2^{n-1}(\alpha) - \check{K}(\alpha)\check{K}(\lambda)K_2^n(\alpha) - \check{K}(\lambda) = 0$$

This equation holds since  $K(\alpha)K_2^{n-1}(\alpha) - \check{K}(\alpha)K_2^n(\alpha) = 1$ , as  $n$  is even.

The proof when  $\lambda = ()$  is similar, so we don't give as much detail here. Equation (11) is now

$$K(\alpha)\check{K}(\alpha\rho) + K_2^{n-1}(\alpha)\check{K}(\alpha\rho) - \check{K}(\alpha^2\rho) - \check{K}(\rho) = 0.$$

Splitting the continuant  $\check{K}(\alpha^2\rho)$  and cancelling terms leads us to the equation

$$K_2^{n-1}(\alpha)\check{K}(\alpha\rho) - \check{K}(\alpha)K_2^{n+r-1}(\alpha\rho) - \check{K}(\rho) = 0.$$

Once more splitting the continuants  $\check{K}(\alpha\rho)$  and  $K_2^{n+r-1}(\alpha\rho)$  and cancelling terms leads us to the equation

$$K_2^{n-1}(\alpha)K(\alpha)\check{K}(\rho) - \check{K}(\alpha)K_2^n(\alpha)\check{K}(\rho) - \check{K}(\rho) = 0,$$

which holds since  $K(\alpha)K_2^{n-1}(\alpha) - \check{K}(\alpha)K_2^n(\alpha) = 1$ , as  $n$  is even. ■

## 5 Example 7.22

A triple  $(\check{K}(\mu), \check{K}(\mu\nu), \check{K}(\nu))$  in a graph is followed by the triples

$$\begin{aligned}(\check{K}(\mu), \check{K}(\mu^2\nu), \check{K}(\mu\nu)) &= (\check{K}(\mu), \frac{\check{K}(\mu^2)}{\check{K}(\mu)}\check{K}(\mu\nu) - \check{K}(\nu), \check{K}(\mu\nu)), \\(\check{K}(\mu\nu), \check{K}(\mu\nu^2), \check{K}(\nu)) &= (\check{K}(\mu\nu), \frac{\check{K}(\nu^2)}{\check{K}(\nu)}\check{K}(\mu\nu) - \check{K}(\mu), \check{K}(\nu)).\end{aligned}$$

Let us call the values

$$\frac{\check{K}(\mu^2)}{\check{K}(\mu)} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\check{K}(\nu^2)}{\check{K}(\nu)}$$

the *Markov values* of  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  respectively.

**Example 2.** Let  $\alpha = (1, 1)^n$  and  $\beta = (2, 2)^m$  for some positive integers  $n$  and  $m$ . Consider the graph  $T_{\alpha, \beta}$ . The starting triple in this graph is

$$(\check{K}(\alpha), \check{K}(\alpha\beta), \check{K}(\beta)),$$

followed by the triples

$$(\check{K}(\alpha), \check{K}(\alpha^2\beta), \check{K}(\alpha\beta)), \quad (\check{K}(\alpha\beta), \check{K}(\alpha\beta^2), \check{K}(\beta)).$$

Let  $(\check{K}(\gamma), \check{K}(\gamma\rho), \check{K}(\rho))$  be any triple in the graph other than the triples

$$\begin{aligned}(\check{K}(\alpha), \check{K}(\alpha^i\beta), \check{K}(\alpha^{i-1}\beta)) &= \left( \check{K}(\alpha), \frac{\check{K}(\alpha^2)}{\check{K}(\alpha)}\check{K}(\alpha^{i-1}\beta) - \check{K}(\alpha^{i-2}\beta), \check{K}(\alpha^{i-1}\beta) \right) \\(\check{K}(\alpha\beta^{i-1}), \check{K}(\alpha\beta^i), \check{K}(\beta)) &= \left( \check{K}(\alpha\beta^{i-1}), \frac{\check{K}(\beta^2)}{\check{K}(\beta)}\check{K}(\alpha\beta^{i-1}) - \check{K}(\alpha\beta^{i-2}), \check{K}(\beta) \right)\end{aligned}\tag{2}$$

for  $i \geq 1$ , which we deal with separately. Then both sequences  $\gamma$  and  $\rho$  are of the form

$$(1, 1, \dots, 2, 2).$$

As such they satisfy the conditions of Proposition 7.21, from which we have that

$$\frac{\check{K}(\gamma^2)}{\check{K}(\gamma)} = 3\check{K}(\gamma) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\check{K}(\rho^2)}{\check{K}(\rho)} = 3\check{K}(\rho).$$

From this we can build the entire tree  $T_{\alpha, \beta}$  if we know the starting triple

$$(\check{K}(\alpha), \check{K}(\alpha\beta), \check{K}(\beta))$$

and the two Markov values

$$\frac{\check{K}(\alpha^2)}{\check{K}(\alpha)} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\check{K}(\beta^2)}{\check{K}(\beta)}.$$

There are two paths in the tree, given in Equation (2), that depend on the Markov values

$$\frac{\check{K}(\alpha^2)}{\check{K}(\alpha)}, \quad \frac{\check{K}(\beta^2)}{\check{K}(\beta)}.$$

These have the values

$$\frac{\check{K}(\alpha^2)}{\check{K}(\alpha)} = 3\check{K}(\alpha), \quad \frac{\check{K}(\beta^2)}{\check{K}(\beta)} = 3\check{K}(\beta),$$

if  $n = 1$  and  $m = 1$ . This is the case of regular Markov numbers. However this is not true for  $n > 1$  and  $m > 1$ , as we show now in Proposition 1.

**Remark.** We use the following notation for continued fractions. In  $[4; (4, 4)^i : 7]$  the sequence  $(4, 4)$  is repeated  $i$ -times. In  $[2; \langle 4 \rangle]$  the number 4 is repeated infinitely.

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $\alpha = (1, 1)^n$  and  $\beta = (2, 2)^m$  for some integers  $n > 1$  and  $m > 1$ . Prove that*

$$\frac{\check{K}(\beta^2)}{\check{K}(\beta)^2} = [2; 1 : (4, 1)^{n-2} : 5],$$

$$\frac{\check{K}(\alpha^2)}{\check{K}(\alpha)^2} = \begin{cases} [2; (4, 4)^{i-1} : 3] & i = \frac{m+1}{3}, i \in \mathbb{Z}, \\ [2; (4, 4)^{i-1} : 4] & i = \frac{m}{3}, i \in \mathbb{Z}, \\ [2; (4, 4)^{i-1} : 4 : 5] & i = \frac{m-1}{3}, i \in \mathbb{Z}, \end{cases}$$

**Example 3.** For  $n = 1, \dots, 5$  and  $m = 1, \dots, 5$  we have

$$\begin{array}{ll} \frac{\check{K}((1, 1)^2)}{\check{K}((1, 1))^2} = [3] & \frac{\check{K}((2, 2)^2)}{\check{K}((2, 2))^2} = [3] \\ \frac{\check{K}((1, 1)^4)}{\check{K}((1, 1)^2)^2} = [2; 3] & \frac{\check{K}((2, 2)^4)}{\check{K}((2, 2)^2)^2} = [2; 1 : 5] \\ \frac{\check{K}((1, 1)^6)}{\check{K}((1, 1)^3)^2} = [2; 4] & \frac{\check{K}((2, 2)^6)}{\check{K}((2, 2)^3)^2} = [2; 1 : 4 : 1 : 5] \\ \frac{\check{K}((1, 1)^8)}{\check{K}((1, 1)^4)^2} = [2; 4 : 5] & \frac{\check{K}((2, 2)^8)}{\check{K}((2, 2)^4)^2} = [2; 1 : 4 : 1 : 4 : 1 : 5] \\ \frac{\check{K}((1, 1)^{10})}{\check{K}((1, 1)^5)^2} = [2; 4 : 4 : 3] & \frac{\check{K}((2, 2)^{10})}{\check{K}((2, 2)^5)^2} = [2; 1 : 4 : 1 : 4 : 1 : 4 : 1 : 5] \end{array}$$

**Remark.** Note that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\check{K}(\alpha^2)}{\check{K}(\alpha)^2} = [2; \langle 4 \rangle] = \sqrt{5},$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\check{K}(\beta^2)}{\check{K}(\beta)^2} = [2; \langle 1, 4 \rangle] = 2\sqrt{2}.$$

The statement in Example 7.22 is therefore not correct. However, if we know the starting sequences  $\alpha = (1, 1)^n$  and  $\beta = (2, 2)^m$ , then we have can derive the graph  $T_{\alpha, \beta}$  using  $\tilde{\Sigma}$ , the formula in Question 1, and the triple

$$(\check{K}(\alpha), \check{K}(\alpha\beta), \check{K}(\beta)).$$

## 6 Other corrections

At the start of Subsection 5.3 we have the formula for  $\Sigma$ . This should be

$$\Sigma(a, b, c) = 3ab - c.$$

Typo in Theorem 6.20: The second bullet point should read “The maps P, Q, and T are as in Fig. 6”.

In Figure 8, the box labelled “Generalised Markov triples in  $\mathcal{G}_{\otimes}(\mu, \nu)$  (or in  $T_{\mu, \nu}$ )” is not clear. We add the following remark replacing Remark 6.21.

**Remark.** Triples in the graph  $\mathcal{G}_{\otimes}(\mu, \nu)$  are of the form

$$((\alpha, a), (\alpha\beta, c), (\beta, b)).$$

There is a trivial map Q from these triples to triples of sequences

$$((\alpha, a), (\alpha\beta, c), (\beta, b)) \mapsto (\alpha, \alpha\beta, \beta).$$

Maps S and Y are derived from this trivial map.

It is not known to the authors whether there exists a map Q from the triples in  $T_{\mu, \nu}$

$$(a, c, b) \mapsto (\alpha, \alpha\beta, \beta).$$

Similarly, maps S and Y are not known to exist from  $T_{\mu, \nu}$ . For this reason they are marked with dashed lines.