

## APPROXIMATIONS TO TWO REAL NUMBERS

IGOR D. KAN — NIKOLAY G. MOSHCHEVITIN\*

ABSTRACT. For a real  $\xi$  put  $\psi_\xi(t) = \min_{1 \leq x \leq t} \|x\xi\|$ . Let  $\alpha, \beta$  be real numbers such that  $\alpha \pm \beta \notin \mathbb{Z}$ . We prove that the function  $\psi_\alpha(t) - \psi_\beta(t)$  changes its sign infinitely many often as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ . The proof uses continued fractions.

*Communicated by Yann Bugeaud*

*Dedicated to the memory of Professor Edmund Hlawka*

### 1. The result

For a real  $\xi$  denote the irrationality measure function

$$\psi_\xi(t) = \min_{1 \leq x \leq t} \|x\xi\|.$$

The minimum is taken over all integer values of  $x$  in the range and  $\|\cdot\|$  stands for the distance to the nearest integer.

The main result of this note is the following

**THEOREM 1.** *For any two different irrational numbers  $\alpha, \beta$  such that  $\alpha \pm \beta \notin \mathbb{Z}$  the difference function*

$$\psi_\alpha(t) - \psi_\beta(t)$$

*changes its sign infinitely many times as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ .*

The phenomenon observed in Theorem 1 cannot be generalized to any dimension greater than one. In [2] the following two statements were proven.

---

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 11J70, 11A55.

Keywords: Continued fraction expansion, simultaneous approximation, badly approximable number.

\*Research is supported by RFBF grant No. 09-01-00371a.

**THEOREM 2** (A. Khintchine, 1926). *Let a function  $\psi(t)$  decrease to zero as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ . Then there exist two algebraically independent real numbers  $\alpha^1, \alpha^2$  such that for all  $t$  large enough one has*

$$\psi_{(\alpha^1, \alpha^2)}(t) := \min_{1 \leq \max(|x_1|, |x_2|) \leq t} \|x_1 \alpha^1 + x_2 \alpha^2\| \leq \psi(t).$$

**THEOREM 3** (A. Khintchine, 1926). *Let  $\psi_1(t)$  decrease to zero as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$  and the function  $t \mapsto t\psi_1(t)$  increase to infinity as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ . Then there exist two algebraically independent real numbers  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2$  such that for all  $t$  large enough one has*

$$\psi_{\binom{\alpha_1}{\alpha_2}}(t) := \min_{1 \leq x \leq t} \max_{j=1,2} \|x \alpha_j\| \leq \psi_1(t).$$

Of course, in Theorems 2, 3 we suppose  $x_1, x_2, x$  to be integers.

From Theorem 2 and some basic facts on existence of badly approximable numbers (see [1, Ch. 3.]) it follows that the result of Theorem 1 cannot be generalized to the case of a linear form in two variables. Indeed, take

$$\psi(t) = o(t^{-2}), \quad t \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Let  $\alpha^1, \alpha^2$  be the numbers from Theorem 2. So

$$\psi_{(\alpha^1, \alpha^2)}(t) = o(t^{-2}), \quad t \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Take  $\beta^1, \beta^2$  to be numbers algebraically independent of  $\alpha^1, \alpha^2$  such that they are badly approximable (in the sense of a linear form):

$$\inf_{(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \{(0,0)\}} (\|x_1 \beta^1 + x_2 \beta^2\| \cdot \max(|x_1|, |x_2|)^2) > 0.$$

So for any  $t \geq 1$  one has

$$\psi_{(\beta^1, \beta^2)}(t) \geq C_1 t^{-2}$$

with some positive  $C_1$ . We see that for all  $t$  large enough one has

$$\psi_{(\alpha^1, \alpha^2)}(t) < \psi_{(\beta^1, \beta^2)}(t).$$

The similar situation holds in the case of simultaneous approximations. From Theorem 3 it follows that the result of Theorem 1 cannot be generalized to the case of simultaneous approximations to two real numbers. Indeed, take

$$\psi_1(t) = o(t^{-1/2}), \quad t \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Let  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2$  be the numbers from Theorem 3. Then for all  $t$  large enough one has

$$\psi_{\binom{\alpha_1}{\alpha_2}}(t) = o(t^{-1/2}), \quad t \rightarrow +\infty.$$

APPROXIMATIONS TO TWO REAL NUMBERS

Take  $\beta_1, \beta_2$  to be numbers algebraically independent of  $\alpha^1, \alpha^2$  such that they are badly simultaneously approximable:

$$\inf_{x \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \left( \max_{j=1,2} \|x\beta_j\| \cdot |x|^{1/2} \right) > 0.$$

Then for  $t \geq 1$  we have

$$\psi_{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \end{smallmatrix}\right)}(t) \geq C_2 t^{-1/2}$$

with some positive  $C_2$ . We see that

$$\psi_{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \end{smallmatrix}\right)}(t) < \psi_{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \end{smallmatrix}\right)}(t)$$

for all  $t$  large enough. (Of course, here  $\psi_{(\beta^1, \beta^2)}, \psi_{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \end{smallmatrix}\right)}$  are defined analogously to  $\psi_{(\alpha^1, \alpha^2)}, \psi_{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \end{smallmatrix}\right)}$ .)

## 2. Lemmas about continued fractions

We can assume that  $0 < \alpha, \beta < 1$ . We consider continued fraction expansions

$$\alpha = [0; a_1 a_2, \dots, a_n, \dots], \quad \beta = [0; b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n, \dots].$$

Define

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_n &= [a_n; a_{n+1}, a_{n+2}, \dots], & \alpha_n^* &= [0; a_n, a_{n-1}, \dots, a_1], \\ \beta_n &= [b_n; b_{n+1}, b_{n+2}, \dots], & \beta_n^* &= [0; b_n, b_{n-1}, \dots, b_1], \\ \frac{r_n}{q_n} &= [0; a_1, \dots, a_n], & \frac{s_n}{p_n} &= [0; b_1, \dots, b_n]. \end{aligned}$$

**LEMMA 1.** *For  $n \geq 2$  one has*

$$\|q_{n-1}\alpha\|q_{n+1} = \frac{\alpha_{n+1}(a_{n+1} + \alpha_n^*)}{\alpha_{n+1} + \alpha_n^*}.$$

*Proof.* It is a well known fact (see [1, Ch.1]) that

$$\|q_{n-1}\alpha\| = \frac{1}{q_{n-1}\alpha_n + q_{n-2}} \tag{1}$$

or

$$q_{n-1}\|q_{n-1}\alpha\| = q_{n-1}^2 \left| \alpha - \frac{r_{n-1}}{q_{n-1}} \right| = \frac{1}{\alpha_n + \alpha_{n-1}^*}, \tag{2}$$

where

$$\alpha_{n-1}^* = \frac{q_{n-2}}{q_{n-1}}.$$

So we see that

$$\|q_{n-1}\alpha\|q_{n+1} = \|q_{n-1}\alpha\|q_{n-1} \frac{q_n}{q_{n-1}} \frac{q_{n+1}}{q_n} = \frac{1}{(\alpha_n + \alpha_{n-1}^*)\alpha_n^*\alpha_{n+1}^*}.$$

But as

$$\alpha_n = a_n + \frac{1}{\alpha_{n+1}}, \quad a_n + \alpha_{n-1}^* = \frac{1}{\alpha_n^*},$$

we see that

$$\alpha_n + \alpha_{n-1}^* = \frac{1}{\alpha_n^*} + \frac{1}{\alpha_{n+1}}.$$

So

$$\|q_{n-1}\alpha\|q_{n+1} = \frac{1}{\alpha_n^*\alpha_{n+1}^* \left( \frac{1}{\alpha_n^*} + \frac{1}{\alpha_{n+1}} \right)} = \frac{\alpha_{n+1}}{\alpha_{n+1}^*(\alpha_n^* + \alpha_{n+1})} = \frac{\alpha_{n+1}(a_{n+1} + \alpha_n^*)}{\alpha_{n+1} + \alpha_n^*}.$$

Lemma 1 is proved.  $\square$

As  $a_{n+1} \geq 1$  and  $\alpha_{n+1} > 1$  we obtain the following

**COROLLARY.** *For  $n \geq 2$  one has*

$$\|q_{n-1}\alpha\|q_{n+1} > 1. \quad (3)$$

**LEMMA 2.** *Suppose that*

$$m, n \geq 2 \quad \text{and} \quad q_{n+1} \leq p_{m+1}. \quad (4)$$

*Then*

$$\|q_{n-1}\alpha\| > \|p_m\beta\|. \quad (5)$$

**PROOF.** Suppose that (5) is not true. Then from (4) and (3) we see that

$$1 < \|q_{n-1}\alpha\|q_{n+1} \leq \|p_m\beta\|p_{m+1}.$$

As (see [1, Ch.1])

$$\|p_m\beta\|p_{m+1} = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{\beta_{m+1}^*}{\beta_{m+2}}} < 1$$

we have a contradiction. Lemma 5 is proved.  $\square$

### 3. Proof of Theorem 1

Now we are able to prove Theorem 1. We make use of the fact that  $q$  is a denominator of a convergent fraction to  $\xi$  if and only if  $\|q\xi\| = \min_{1 \leq x \leq q} \|x\xi\|$  (see [1], Ch.1, Theorem 5E). So given two consecutive denominators  $q_\nu, q_{\nu+1}$  of convergent fraction to  $\xi$  in the interval

$$q_\nu \leq t < q_{\nu+1},$$

one has

$$\psi_\xi(t) = \|q_\nu \xi\|.$$

Consider the sequences

$$q_0 \leq q_1 < \dots < q_n < q_{n+1} < \dots, \quad p_0 \leq p_1 < \dots < p_m < p_{m+1} < \dots$$

of convergents' denominators to  $\alpha, \beta$  correspondingly. Suppose that the statement of Theorem 1 is false for certain irrationalities  $\alpha, \beta$ . Without loss of generality assume that for all  $t \geq p_{m_0} \geq q_{n_0-1}$  one has

$$\psi_\beta(t) \geq \psi_\alpha(t). \tag{6}$$

From Lemma 2 and the assumption (6) we see that between two consecutive denominators  $p_m, p_{m+1}, m \geq m_0$  not more than one denominator of the form  $q_n$  may occur. Here we give a proof of this fact. Let  $q_{n-1}$  be the largest denominator of a convergent to  $\alpha$  with  $q_{n-1} \leq p_m$ . Then

$$\|p_m \beta\| = \psi_\beta(p_m) \geq \psi_\alpha(p_m) = \psi_\alpha(q_{n-1}) = \|q_{n-1} \alpha\|$$

and (5) does not hold. By Lemma 2 we see that there is at most one denominator of a convergent to  $\alpha$ , namely  $q_n$ , such that

$$p_m < q_n \leq p_{m+1}.$$

For  $j \geq 0$  we define integer  $m_j$  from the condition that the denominator  $p_{m_j}$  is the largest denominator among the convergents to  $\beta$  such that

$$p_{m_j} < q_{n_0+j},$$

where  $n_0$  is defined before formula (6). So

$$\begin{aligned} p_{m_0} < q_{n_0} \leq p_{m_0+1} < \dots < p_{m_1} < q_{n_0+1} \leq p_{m_1+1} < \dots \\ & \dots < p_{m_2} < q_{n_0+2} \leq p_{m_2+1} < \dots \\ & \dots < p_{m_{j-1}} < q_{n_0+j-1} \leq p_{m_{j-1}+1} < \dots \\ & \dots < p_{m_j} < q_{n_0+j} \leq p_{m_j+1} < \dots \\ & \dots < p_{m_{j+1}} < q_{n_0+j+1} \leq p_{m_{j+1}+1} < \dots \end{aligned}$$

By (6) we see that for all  $j \geq 0$  one has

$$\|q_{n_0+j-1}\alpha\| = \psi_\alpha(q_{n_0+j-1}) = \psi_\alpha(p_{m_j}) \leq \psi_\beta(p_{m_j}) = \|p_{m_j}\beta\|. \quad (7)$$

From (6) we also have

$$\|q_{n_0+j}\alpha\| = \psi_\alpha(q_{n_0+j}) = \psi_\alpha(p_{m_{j+1}}) \leq \psi_\beta(p_{m_{j+1}}) = \|p_{m_{j+1}}\beta\|. \quad (8)$$

We distinguish two cases. In the **first case** we suppose that for infinitely many  $j$  at least one of the inequalities in (7), (8) is strict, that is, there is the sign  $<$  instead of  $\leq$ . In the **second case** for all  $j$  large enough we have equalities in both (7), (8).

Consider the **first case**. Without loss of generality we assume that

$$\|q_{n_0+j-1}\alpha\| = \psi_\alpha(q_{n_0+j-1}) = \psi_\alpha(p_{m_j}) < \psi_\beta(p_{m_j}) = \|p_{m_j}\beta\|. \quad (9)$$

From (1) we have

$$\|q_{n_0+j-1}\alpha\| = \frac{1}{q_{n_0+j-1}\alpha_{n_0+j} + q_{n_0+j-2}}, \quad \|p_{m_j}\beta\| = \frac{1}{p_{m_j}\beta_{m_j+1} + p_{m_j-1}}.$$

So

$$p_{m_j}\beta_{m_j+1} + p_{m_j-1} < q_{n_0+j-1}\alpha_{n_0+j} + q_{n_0+j-2}. \quad (10)$$

As

$$\beta_{m_j+1} = b_{m_j+1} + \frac{1}{\beta_{m_j+2}}, \quad \alpha_{n_0+1} = a_{n_0+j} + \frac{1}{\alpha_{n_0+j+1}}$$

from (10) we deduce that

$$p_{m_j} \left( b_{m_j+1} + \frac{1}{\beta_{m_j+2}} \right) + p_{m_j-1} < q_{n_0+j-1} \left( a_{n_0+j} + \frac{1}{\alpha_{n_0+j+1}} \right) + q_{n_0+j-2}$$

or

$$p_{m_j+1} + \frac{p_{m_j}}{\beta_{m_j+2}} < q_{n_0+j} + \frac{q_{n_0+j-1}}{\alpha_{n_0+j+1}}.$$

But

$$p_{m_j+1} \geq q_{n_0+j}, \quad p_{m_j} \geq q_{n_0+j-1}.$$

So

$$\beta_{m_j+2} > \alpha_{n_0+j-1}. \quad (11)$$

From the other hand, from (8) we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{q_{n_0+j}\alpha_{n_0+j+1} + q_{n_0+j-1}} &= \|q_{n_0+j}\alpha\| = \psi_\alpha(q_{n_0+j}) = \psi_\alpha(p_{m_{j+1}}) \\ &\leq \psi_\beta(p_{m_{j+1}}) = \|p_{m_{j+1}}\beta\| = \frac{1}{p_{m_{j+1}}\beta_{m_j+2} + p_{m_j}}. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$p_{m_{j+1}}\beta_{m_j+2} + p_{m_j} \leq q_{n_0+j}\alpha_{n_0+j+1} + q_{n_0+j-1}.$$

APPROXIMATIONS TO TWO REAL NUMBERS

As

$$q_{n_0+j-1} \leq p_{m_j}, \quad q_{n_0+j} \leq p_{m_{j+1}},$$

we see that

$$\beta_{m_{j+2}} \leq \alpha_{n_0+j+1}.$$

This contradicts (11).

In the **second case** we see that for  $j$  large enough one has

$$\psi_\beta(p_{m_{j+1}}) = \psi_\alpha(q_{n_0+j}) = \psi_\beta(p_{m_{j+1}}). \quad (12)$$

Hence for  $j_0$  large enough for all  $j \geq j_0$  we have

$$m_{j+1} = m_j + 1 = m_{j_0} + j + 1 - j_0.$$

So for large  $j$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} p_{m_{j_0}+j+1-j_0}\beta - s_{m_{j_0}+j+1-j_0} &= (-1)^{m_{j_0}+j+1-j_0} \|p_{m_{j_0}+j+1-j_0}\beta\| = \\ &= (-1)^{m_{j_0}+j+1-j_0} \psi_\beta(p_{m_{j+1}}), \end{aligned}$$

$$q_{n_0+j}\alpha - r_{n_0+j} = (-1)^{n_0+j} \|q_{n_0+j}\alpha\| = (-1)^{n_0+j} \psi_\alpha(q_{n_0+j}).$$

So for all  $j$  large enough from (12) we deduce that

$$p_{m_{j_0}+j+1-j_0}\beta - s_{m_{j_0}+j+1-j_0} = (-1)^{m_{j_0}-j_0-n_0+1} (q_{n_0+j}\alpha - r_{n_0+j})$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} p_{m_{j_0}+j+1-j_0}\beta - (-1)^{m_{j_0}-j_0-n_0+1} q_{n_0+j}\alpha &= \\ s_{m_{j_0}+j+1-j_0} - (-1)^{m_{j_0}-j_0-n_0+1} r_{n_0+j}. \end{aligned}$$

Analogously,

$$\begin{aligned} p_{m_{j_0}+j+2-j_0}\beta - (-1)^{m_{j_0}-j_0-n_0+1} q_{n_0+j+1}\alpha &= \\ s_{m_{j_0}+j+2-j_0} - (-1)^{m_{j_0}-j_0-n_0+1} r_{n_0+j+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that  $\alpha, \beta$  are irrational numbers. So

$$p_{m_{j_0}+j+1-j_0}q_{n_0+j+1} - p_{m_{j_0}+j+2-j_0}q_{n_0+j} = 0$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} [0; b_{m_{j_0}+j+2-j_0}, b_{m_{j_0}+j+1-j_0}, \dots, b_1] &= \frac{p_{m_{j_0}+j+1-j_0}}{p_{m_{j_0}+j+2-j_0}} = \frac{q_{n_0+j}}{q_{n_0+j+1}} = \\ &= [0; a_{n_0+j+1}, a_{n_0+j}, \dots, a_1], \end{aligned}$$

for  $j$  large enough. As  $\alpha, \beta \in (0, 1)$  we see that  $\alpha = \beta$  or  $\alpha + \beta = 1$ .

The proof of Theorem 1 is complete. □

REFERENCES

- [1] SCHMIDT, W.M.: *Diophantine Approximations*, in: Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. **785**, 1980.
- [2] KHINCHINE, A.Y.: *Über eine Klasse linear Diophantine Approximationen*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2) **50** (1926), 170–195.

Received October 20, 2009  
Accepted March 30, 2010

**Igor D. Kan**  
**Nikolay G. Moshchevitin**  
*Department of Number Theory*  
*Moscow State University*  
*Vorobinovy Gory*  
*Moscow 119992*  
*RUSSIA*  
*E-mail: igor.kan@list.ru*  
*moshchevitin@rambler.ru*