Green Chemistry



View Article Online

COMMUNICATION

Check for updates

Cite this: Green Chem., 2020, 22, 33

Received 30th August 2019, Accepted 20th November 2019 DOI: 10.1039/c9gc03057c

rsc.li/greenchem

Rhodium-terpyridine catalyzed redox-neutral depolymerization of lignin in water[†]

Yuxuan Liu,^{a,b} Changzhi Li, ^b*^b Wang Miao,^a Weijun Tang, ^b^a Dong Xue,^a Jianliang Xiao, ^b^{a,c} Tao Zhang ^b^b and Chao Wang *^a

Simple rhodium terpyridine complexes were found to be suitable catalysts for the redox neutral cleavage of lignin in water. Apart from cleaving lignin model compounds into ketones and phenols, the catalytic system could also be applied to depolymerize dioxasolv lignin and lignocellulose, affording aromatic ketones as the major monomer products. The (hemi)cellulose components in the lignocellulose sample remain almost intact during lignin depolymerization, providing an example of a "lignin-first" process under mild conditions. Mechanistic studies suggest that the reaction proceeds *via* a rhodium catalyzed hydrogen autotransfer process.

Aromatic compounds are important building blocks for the production of value-added chemicals for materials, fine chemicals and pharmaceuticals.¹⁻⁴ Currently, aromatic chemicals are mainly produced from fossil resources. With diminishing fossil resources and the growing worldwide concern over this environmental problem, it is vital to find renewable approaches for the production of aromatic chemicals. Lignin is the largest aromatic heteropolymer in nature, accounting for 15-30 wt% of biomass.^{5,6} By virtue of this feature, lignin is considered to be a promising renewable source of aromatics that can alleviate the dependence on fossil resources.^{7,8} However, due to the complicated amorphous structure and highly heterogeneous nature of lignin, selective depolymerization of lignin remains a challenge.^{5,6,9-11} One approach is to develop an efficient catalytic system for the selective cleavage of the relatively unreactive C-O bonds in lignin, particularly the most abundant β -O-4 linkages.^{12–24} Despite the various elegant strategies reported for the chemical disassembly of

lignin,19-21 the following major issues have not been well resolved in most cases: (1) in a certain number of processes. external oxidants^{9,10,17,25-29} or reductants^{12,13,21,30-37} are required, which are often hazardous and generate waste; (2) severe reaction conditions, such as high temperature and pressure, are usually required;^{9,14,31} (3) organic solvents, e.g. alcohols, toluene, and dioxane,^{18,22,23,31,38-41} are normally used, which does not meet the green chemistry criteria. A lignin depolymerization process requiring no external oxidant/ reductant in aqueous media under mild conditions is desirable from the green chemistry viewpoint. Lignin contains a large number of hydroxyl groups in its sidechain,^{6,7,11} which have the potential to be dehydrogenated to provide hydrogen for the hydrogenolysis of C-O bonds. Thus, the redox-neutral cleavage or depolymerization of lignin could be realized, if a catalyst can transfer the hydrogen from the alcohol moieties in lignin to cleave its C-O bonds. This concept has been recently demonstrated by several groups with both homogeneous^{22,23,39,42-44} and heterogeneous^{19,20,30,45-48} catalytic systems. Despite these progresses, homogeneous catalytic systems with water as the solvent under mild conditions, which could be used for real lignin depolymerization, are still highly sought after. As native lignin is generally an insoluble solid, homogeneous catalytic systems might be more suitable for its depolymerization compared to heterogeneous catalytic systems in view of its mass transfer efficiency. Recently, we have demonstrated this hypothesis with a water soluble binuclear Rh complex catalytic system.²⁴

In this work, structurally tunable terpyridine mononuclear Rh complexes are found to be efficient catalysts for the selective cleavage of lignin model compounds into ketones and phenols with water as the solvent under mild conditions. In addition, this robust catalytic system can be used for depolymerizing real lignin and raw woody biomass, affording aromatic ketones as the major monomer products. Notably, the lignin component in raw woody biomass could be selectively depolymerized, leaving the (hemi)cellulose components intact, providing an example of a homogeneous "lignin-first"^{49–51} process.

^aKey Laboratory of Applied Surface and Colloid Chemistry, Ministry of Education, School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Shaanxi Normal University, Xi'an, 710119, China. E-mail: c.wang@snnu.edu.cn

^bCAS Key Laboratory of Science and Technology on Applied Catalysis, Dalian

Institute of Chemical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Dalian, 116023, China. E-mail: licz@dicp.ac.cn

^cDepartment of Chemistry, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, L69 7ZD, UK

[†]Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/ c9gc03057c

View Article Online Green Chemistry

Terpyridine ligands have found broad applications in coordination chemistry and molecular recognition. Terpyridine metal complexes are oxidatively and thermally robust. However, examples of using terpyridine metal complexes as catalysts are few.⁵²⁻⁵⁷ Previously, we have shown that a binuclear Rh complex with terpyridine (2,2':6',2"-terpyridine) ligand is an effective catalyst for dehydrogenation of alcohols,⁵⁸ dehydrogenative coupling reactions,⁵⁹ borrowing hydrogen reactions⁶⁰ and aqueous redox-neutral depolymerization of lignin.²⁴ Nevertheless, the structure of this binuclear Rh complex is difficult to modify, as the binuclear structure might not be formed upon changing the substituents on terpyridine. Crabtree and co-workers reported that Ru and Ir terpyridine complexes could catalyze borrowing hydrogen reactions between alcohols.⁵⁴ We envisioned that structurally tunable simple Rh terpyridine complexes might be also employed as catalysts for hydrogen transfer reactions. We thus synthesized several Rh-terpyridine complexes (Table 1, 1a-1h) with different electronic and hydrophilic properties and tested them as catalysts for the redox-neutral cleavage of a lignin model compound (2a) in water. Gratifyingly, when the reaction was performed with 1 mol% 1a and 1 equivalent of NaOH in water at 110 °C for 12 h, the majority of 2a was cleaved into 4a (4-acetylanisole, 73% yield) and 5a (guaiacol, 77% yield), with a small amount of the dehydrogenation product 3 being

Table 1 Rh catalyzed redox-neutral cleavage of the C–O bond of a lignin model substrate^a



^{*a*} Reaction conditions: **2a** (0.2 mmol), catalyst (0.002 mmol), NaOH (0.2 mmol), H₂O (1 mL), 110 °C, 12 h, under Ar. After the reaction, hydrochloric acid (1 M) was used to acidify the solution to pH = *ca*. 1. ^{*b*} Yields were determined by GC-FID with diphenyl as the internal standard. ^{*c*} NaOH (0.1 mmol). ^{*d*} NaOH (0.3 mmol).

obtained (Table 1, entry 1). Catalysts with electron-donating substituents showed better activity than the ones with electron-withdrawing groups (1a vs. 1c, and 1g vs. 1h). During the reaction, we observed that catalyst 1a is insoluble in water. As the model substrate 2a also has low solubility in water, the cleavage reaction might take place in an "on water" manner, differing from our previous binuclear Rh catalytic system.²⁴ We then increased the hydrophobicity of the catalysts by introducing more hydrophobic groups, e.g. 2-naphthyl (1d) and 9-anthracyl (1e). Although good conversion was obtained with 1d (Table 1, entry 4), low yield was observed with 1e (Table 1, entry 5), suggesting the requirement of a balanced hydrophobicity and hydrophilicity. Taken together, the above results suggest that ligands with electron-donating groups and conjugated groups with moderate hydrophobicity are good for this transformation. The amount of NaOH also affected the conversion and yields of the products of the reaction (Table 1, entries 9 and 10). Full conversion of 2a into 4a (84% yield) and 5a (87% yield) was achieved and a small amount of the dehydrogenated intermediate 3 was obtained, when the reaction was conducted with 1 mol% of catalyst 1a in the presence of 1.5 equivalents of NaOH (lower amount of base compared with our previous binuclear Rh system)²⁴ in water at 110 °C for 12 h under an Ar atmosphere (Table 1, entry 10).

A variety of lignin model compounds were then tested to probe the versatility of the catalyst (Table 2). It was found that the methoxy groups at ortho-, meta- and para-positions on both phenyl rings, which are commonly found in natural lignin, are all tolerated under the optimized conditions (Table 2, entries 1-6). Full conversions were observed for these substrates. However, low activities were observed for substrates with a hydroxyl group on the α -phenyl ring; the starting substrates could not be fully consumed (67% conversion for 2g and 79% conversion for 2h) even with higher catalyst loading and prolonged reaction time (Table 2, entries 7 and 8). Delightfully, substrates with the γ -OH functionality (2i and 2j) were fully consumed, albeit affording relatively complex products (Table 2, entries 9 and 10). As the structures of 2i and 2j are closely related to that of real lignin, we then tested the catalytic system for the depolymerization of real lignin samples.

Dioxasolv lignin extracted from poplar wood was used to test the feasibility of the depolymerization of real lignin samples with the above developed catalytic system. Delightfully, the lignin can be effectively converted into an oil sample under the optimized catalytic conditions, with a yield of 90 wt%. MALDI-TOF analysis of the oil samples suggested that the molecular weights of the major products are in the range of 50–400 Da (Scheme S1†), indicating monomers, dimers and trimers to be the major products. Further analysis by GC-MS and GC-FID indicated that aromatic ketones were the dominant monomers with a yield of 10.8 wt% based on oil and 9.7 wt% based on the starting lignin solid (Scheme S2 and Table S3†).

The contents of the monomeric units and the percentage of different linkages of lignin were characterized by Two-Dimensional Heteronuclear Single Quantum Coherence NMR





^{*a*} Reaction conditions: 2 (0.4 mmol), 1a (0.004 mmol), NaOH (0.6 mmol), H₂O (1 mL), 110 °C, under Ar. After the reaction, hydrochloric acid (1 M) was used to acidify the solution to pH = ca. 1. ^{*b*} Isolated yields. ^{*c*} Yield was determined by GC-FID. ^{*d*} 1a (0.008 mmol).

(2D HSQC NMR). Fig. 1 (I) shows that β -O-4 aryl ethers (A linkages) are dominant linkages (up to 87.7%), whilst the number of β -5 (B linkages, 6.2%) and β - β (C linkages, 6.1%) is very small in dioxasolv lignin. After the reaction, the 2D NMR spectra show that most of the A linkages have disappeared (Fig. 1, I *vs.* III), indicating that the majority of the A linkages were cleaved by the catalytic system. The aromatic regions of the 2D-HSQC NMR spectra show that the *S*/*S*' molar ratio has decreased from 68.3 to 1.2 (Fig. 1, II *vs.* IV), suggestive of the dehydrogenation of the C_{α}-OH group. The dehydrogenation of the C_{α}-OH group is also supported by the presence of G'₂, G'₅ and G'₆ as shown in Fig. 1 (IV). These results suggest that the depolymerization of real lignin could be achieved with the aqueous redox-neutral catalytic system.

To further demonstrate the versatility of this catalytic system, conversion of raw wood powder (poplar, 40 mesh, 1000 mg) without further pretreatment was conducted under the standard conditions using **1a** as the catalyst. Impressively, 15 wt% of oil products (based on the starting raw wood powder) was obtained in water at 110 °C for 24 h, and 65 wt% (651 mg) of a solid residue was recovered.⁶¹ Noting that the lignin content in poplar wood powder is determined to be 18.6%,⁶² most of the lignin (80.6%) has been deconstructed into oil products. The solid residue could be easily separated

from the oil products *via* simple filtration. Enzymatic hydrolysis of the solid residue yielded 72% of glucose and 20% of xylose, respectively (based on the weight of solid), which suggests that the solid residue mainly consists of cellulose and hemicellulose. The above results suggest that the Rh catalytic system is capable of "lignin-first" depolymerization^{49–51} of lignocellulose.

The oil product obtained from the raw wood powder was further analyzed by GC-MS, GC-FID and 2D HSQC NMR. The GC-MS and GC-FID analysis results indicate that the structures of the aromatic monomers are similar to those obtained from dioxasolv lignin oil (Scheme S2 vs. Scheme S4 and Table S3 vs. S5[†]). The total yield of the monomers is 16.7 wt% based on the oil and 2.5 wt% based on the starting raw poplar wood powder. Further, the 2D HSQC NMR analysis results show that most of the A linkages (β -O-4) have disappeared in the sidechain region (Fig. 1, I vs. V) and certain amounts of $S_{2.6}$, G_2 , G_5' and G_6' have appeared in the aromatic region of the oil products (Fig. 1, II vs. VI), which are in agreement with the results of the dioxasolv lignin sample. The above results suggest that the Rh-terpyridine catalytic system is highly active and selective not only for the cleavage of lignin model compounds and real lignin depolymerization, but also for the woody biomass depolymerization in a "lignin-first" manner, affording aro-



Fig. 1 2D HSQC NMR spectra. I and II: dioxasolv poplar lignin; III and IV: oil obtained from the dioxasolv poplar lignin sample; V and VI: oil obtained from lignocellulose.

matic ketones as the major monomer products. As aromatic ketones were obtained as the major monomers in the depolymerization of both real lignin and lignocellulose, the cleavage might follow a mechanism similar to that for the model substrates.

The mechanism for this Rh-terpyridine catalyzed redox neutral depolymerization was then considered. Upon cleavage of the model compound **2a**, the dehydrogenated product **3a** was obtained. Based on our previous study and the literature, **3a** could serve as an intermediate for the cleavage reaction. Indeed, **3a** was cleaved into ketone and phenol products in the presence of a hydrogen source (Table 3). These results suggest that the cleavage was initiated by dehydrogenation of the alcohol moiety into a ketone intermediate (with a weaker ether C–O bond compared with **2a**, 55.9 *vs.* 69.2 kcal mol⁻¹),¹⁶ followed by the reductive cleavage of the ether bond. Further deuterium labelling studies showed that the deuterium atom adjacent to the hydroxyl group in **2a**' was selectively transferred to the α -methyl group of the ketone product **4a**' (Scheme 1), possibly *via* a Rh–D intermediate. These observations are similar to

Table 3 Mechanistic studies^a



^{*a*} Reaction conditions: **3a** (0.2 mmol), **1a** (0.002 mmol), NaOH (0.3 mmol), H₂O (1 mL), 12 h, under Ar. After the reaction, hydrochloric acid (1 M) was used to acidify the solution to pH = ca. 1. ^{*b*} Yields were determined by GC-FID with diphenyl as the internal standard.



Scheme 1 Deuterium labelling experiment.

our previous studies on binuclear Rh catalyzed lignin depolymerization.²⁴ Thus, the key steps for this mononuclear Rh-terpyridine complex catalyzed lignin cleavage might be similar to those with the binuclear Rh complex.

Substrates 2i and 2j with the γ -OH functionality gave complex products (Table 2, entries 9 and 10). Based on the above mechanistic studies, a plausible mechanism for the formation of these products as exemplified with 8 is proposed (Scheme 2). 8 is first dehydrogenated to produce a Rh hydride and 9; 9 could undergo a retro-aldol reaction to give 10 and formaldehyde (the formation of formaldehyde is confirmed by ¹H NMR studies, see section 8.2 of the ESI for details†) or dehydration to afford 11; 10 could be cleaved by a Rh hydride to give 14 and 15; and 11 could be reduced by a Rh hydride to form 12, which could be further cleaved to give 13 and 14



Scheme 2 A plausible mechanism for the cleavage of 8

(Scheme 2). Clearly, the hydrogen source in **8** is not sufficient to cleave itself completely into **13**, **14**, and **15**. Thus, a substantial amount of **12** was produced. Various lines of evidence suggest that **1a** remains mononuclear for this reaction (see section 8.3 in the ESI for details[†]).

Conclusions

In conclusion, simple and structurally modular Rh terpyridine complexes are found to be effective catalysts for redox-neutral cleavage of the C–O bonds of β -O-4 lignin model compounds in water under mild conditions. The modularity of the catalyst structure allows us to find a catalyst with optimal electronic and hydrophobic properties for lignin cleavage, which requires less base additives (1.5 equiv. *vs.* 4 equiv.) and behaves differently (on water *vs.* in water) compared with our previous binuclear Rh catalytic system. The catalytic system could also be used for depolymerization of raw poplar wood powder. The depolymerization of raw poplar wood powder proceeds in a "lignin-first" manner giving high yields of lignin oil products. The solid residue could be easily separated and enzymatically hydrolyzed to produce glucose and xylose.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge Xiaozan Dai and Prof. Zongbao Kent Zhao for performing the enzymatic hydrolysis reaction. This research was supported by the 2017 Royal Society International Collaboration Award (IC170044), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (21773145, 21473109, 21690080, 21690083, and 21878288), the Science and Technology Program of Shaanxi Province (2016KJXX-26), Projects for the Academic Leaders and Academic Backbones, Shaanxi Normal University (16QNGG008), the 111 project (B14041), and the DNL cooperation fund CAS (DNL180302).

Notes and references

- 1 T. Dai, C. Li, L. Li, Z. K. Zhao, B. Zhang, Y. Cong and A. Wang, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, 57, 1808–1812.
- 2 A. Maneffa, P. Priecel and J. A. Lopez-Sanchez, *ChemSusChem*, 2016, 9, 2736–2748.
- 3 A. E. Settle, L. Berstis, N. A. Rorrer, Y. Roman-Leshkóv, G. T. Beckham, R. M. Richards and D. R. Vardon, *Green Chem.*, 2017, **19**, 3468–3492.
- 4 Y. Xu, D. Liu and X. Liu, Appl. Catal., A, 2018, 552, 168–183.
- 5 J. Zakzeski, P. C. A. Bruijnincx, A. L. Jongerius and B. M. Weckhuysen, *Chem. Rev.*, 2010, **110**, 3552–3599.

- 6 C. Li, X. Zhao, A. Wang, G. W. Huber and T. Zhang, *Chem. Rev.*, 2015, **115**, 11559–11624.
- 7 C. O. Tuck, E. Pérez, I. T. Horváth, R. A. Sheldon and M. Poliakoff, *Science*, 2012, 337, 695–699.
- 8 G. W. Huber, S. Iborra and A. Corma, *Chem. Rev.*, 2006, **106**, 4044–4098.
- 9 Z. R. Zhang, J. L. Song and B. X. Han, *Chem. Rev.*, 2017, 10, 6834–6880.
- 10 H. Guo, D. M. Miles-Barrett, A. R. Neal, T. Zhang, C. Li and N. J. Westwood, *Chem. Sci.*, 2018, 9, 702–711.
- 11 J. A. Melero, J. Iglesias and A. Garcia, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2012, 5, 7393–7420.
- 12 M. V. Galkin, C. Dahlstrand and J. S. M. Samec, *ChemSusChem*, 2015, **8**, 2187–2192.
- 13 M. V. Galkin, S. Sawadjoon, V. Rohde, M. Dawange and J. S. M. Samec, *ChemCatChem*, 2014, 6, 179–184.
- 14 F. Gao, J. D. Webb, H. Sorek, D. E. Wemmer and J. F. Hartwig, *ACS Catal.*, 2016, **6**, 7385–7392.
- 15 S. H. Lim, K. Nahm, C. S. Ra, D. W. Cho, U. C. Yoon, J. A. Latham, D. Dunaway-Mariano and P. S. Mariano, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2013, 78, 9431–9443.
- 16 J. D. Nguyen, B. S. Matsuura and C. R. J. Stephenson, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2014, 136, 1218–1221.
- 17 C. S. Lancefield, O. S. Ojo, F. Tran and N. J. Westwood, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2015, 54, 258–262.
- 18 A. Wu, B. O. Patrick, E. Chung and B. R. James, *Dalton Trans.*, 2012, 41, 11093–11106.
- 19 N. Luo, M. Wang, H. Li, J. Zhang, T. Hou, H. Chen, X. Zhang, J. Lu and F. Wang, ACS Catal., 2017, 7, 4571– 4580.
- 20 X. Wu, X. Fan, S. Xie, J. Lin, J. Cheng, Q. Zhang, L. Chen and Y. Wang, *Nat. Catal.*, 2018, **1**, 772–780.
- 21 A. Rahimi, A. Ulbrich, J. J. Coon and S. S. Stahl, *Nature*, 2014, **515**, 249–252.
- 22 W. Huo, W. Li, M. Zhang, W. Fan, H. M. Chang and H. Jameel, *Catal. Lett.*, 2014, **144**, 1159–1163.
- 23 J. M. Nichols, L. M. Bishop, R. G. Bergman and J. A. Ellman, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 12554–12555.
- 24 Y. Liu, C. Li, W. Miao, W. Tang, D. Xue, C. Li, B. Zhang, J. Xiao, A. Wang, T. Zhang and C. Wang, *ACS Catal.*, 2019, 9, 4441–4447.
- 25 S. K. Hanson, R. Wu and L. A. P. Silks, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2012, 51, 3410–3413.
- 26 B. Sedai, C. Díaz-Urrutia, R. T. Baker, R. Wu, L. A. P. Silks and S. K. Hanson, *ACS Catal.*, 2011, **1**, 794–804.
- 27 Z. P. Cai, J. X. Long, Y. W. Li, L. Ye, B. L. Yin, L. J. France, J. C. Dong, L. R. Zheng, H. Y. He, S. J. Liu, S. C. E. Tsang and X. H. Li, *Chem*, 2019, 5, 2365–2377.
- 28 S. Son and F. D. Toste, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2010, 49, 3791-3794.
- 29 J. Ji, H. Guo, C. Li, Z. Qi, B. Zhang, T. Dai, M. Jiang, C. Ren, A. Wang and T. Zhang, *ChemCatChem*, 2018, **10**, 415–421.
- 30 M. V. Galkin and J. S. M. Samec, *ChemSusChem*, 2014, 7, 2154–2158.
- 31 I. Klein, C. Marcum, H. Kenttamaa and M. M. Abu-Omar, Green Chem., 2016, 18, 2399–2405.

- 32 T. H. Parsell, B. C. Owen, I. Klein, T. M. Jarrell, C. L. Marcum, L. J. Haupert, L. M. Amundson, H. I. Kenttamaa, F. Ribeiro, J. T. Miller and M. M. Abu-Omar, *Chem. Sci.*, 2013, 4, 806–813.
- 33 H. R. Wu, J. L. Song, C. Xie, C. Y. Wu, C. J. Chen and B. X. Han, ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng., 2018, 6, 2872–2877.
- 34 A. G. Sergeev and J. F. Hartwig, *Science*, 2011, 332, 439–443.
- 35 A. L. Jongerius, P. C. A. Bruijnincx and B. M. Weckhuysen, *Green Chem.*, 2013, **15**, 3049–3056.
- 36 J. Zhang, J. Teo, X. Chen, H. Asakura, T. Tanaka,
 K. Teramura and N. Yan, ACS Catal., 2014, 4, 1574–1583.
- 37 C. Crestini, M. C. Caponi, D. S. Argyropoulos and R. Saladino, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.*, 2006, 14, 5292–5302.
- 38 S. C. Chmely, S. Kim, P. N. Ciesielski, G. Jiménez-Osés, R. S. Paton and G. T. Beckham, ACS Catal., 2013, 3, 963–974.
- 39 T. vom Stein, T. den Hartog, J. Buendia, S. Stoychev, J. Mottweiler, C. Bolm, J. Klankermayer and W. Leitner, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2015, 54, 5859–5863.
- 40 D. Weickmann and B. Plietker, *ChemCatChem*, 2013, 5, 2170–2173.
- 41 X. Zhou, J. Mitra and T. B. Rauchfuss, *ChemSusChem*, 2014, 7, 1623–1626.
- 42 R. Jastrzebski, S. Constant, C. S. Lancefield, N. J. Westwood, B. M. Weckhuysen and P. C. A. Bruijnincx, *ChemSusChem*, 2016, 9, 2074–2079.
- 43 C. S. Lancefield, L. W. Teunissen, B. M. Weckhuysen and P. C. A. Bruijnincx, *Green Chem.*, 2018, **20**, 3214–3221.
- 44 A. Wu, B. O. Patrick, E. Chung and B. R. James, *Dalton Trans.*, 2012, **41**, 11093–11106.
- 45 M. V. Galkin, A. T. Smit, E. Subbotina, K. A. Artemenko, J. Bergquist, W. J. J. Huijgen and J. S. M. Samec, *ChemSusChem*, 2016, 9, 3280–3287.
- 46 R. G. Harms, I. I. E. Markovits, M. Drees, W. A. Herrmann,
 M. Cokoja and F. E. Kühn, *ChemSusChem*, 2014, 7, 429–434.

- 47 B. Zhang, C. Li, T. Dai, G. W. Huber, A. Wang and T. Zhang, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, 5, 84967–84973.
- 48 J. W. Zhang, G. P. Lu and C. Cai, *Green Chem.*, 2017, **19**, 4538–4543.
- 49 Z. Cao, M. Dierks, M. T. Clough, I. B. Daltro de Castro and R. Rinaldi, *Joule*, 2018, 2, 1118–1133.
- 50 T. Renders, S. Van den Bosch, S. F. Koelewijn, W. Schutyser and B. F. Sels, *Energy Environ. Sci*, 2017, **10**, 1551–1557.
- 51 R. Rinaldi, Joule, 2017, 1, 427-428.
- 52 S. Enthaler, B. Hagemann, G. Erre, K. Junge and M. Beller, *Chem. Asian J.*, 2006, **1**, 598–604.
- 53 D. Gnanamgari and R. H. Crabtree, *Organometallics*, 2009, **28**, 922–924.
- 54 D. Gnanamgari, C. H. Leung, N. D. Schley, S. T. Hilton and R. H. Crabtree, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2008, 6, 4442– 4445.
- 55 D. D. Joarder, S. Gayen, R. Sarkar, R. Bhattacharya, S. Roy and D. K. Maiti, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2019, **84**, 8468–8480.
- 56 J. Limburg, J. S. Vrettos, L. M. Liable-Sands, A. L. Rheingold, R. H. Crabtree and G. W. Brudvig, *Science*, 1999, 283, 1524–1527.
- 57 F. Shi, M. K. Tse and M. Beller, *Chem. Asian J.*, 2007, 2, 411–415.
- 58 X. Wang, C. Wang, Y. Liu and J. Xiao, *Green Chem.*, 2016, 18, 4605-4610.
- 59 J. Cheng, M. Zhu, C. Wang, J. Li, X. Jiang, Y. Wei, W. Tang, D. Xue and J. Xiao, *Chem. Sci.*, 2016, 7, 4428–4434.
- 60 J. Li, Y. Liu, W. Tang, D. Xue, C. Li, J. Xiao and C. Wang, *Chem. - Eur. J.*, 2017, 23, 14445–14449.
- 61 The catalytic activity of **1a** is superior to our previous **Rh-Rh** complex for depolymerization of raw poplar wood powder; see Table S4 in the ESI for details.†
- 62 Z. Sun, G. Bottari, A. Afanasenko, M. C. A. Stuart, P. J. Deuss, B. Fridrich and K. Barta, *Nat. Catal.*, 2018, **1**, 82–92.