Ru-Catalyzed Deoxygenative Transfer Hydrogenation of Amides to Amines with Formic Acid/Triethylamine
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Abstract: A ruthenium(II)-catalyzed deoxygenative transfer hydrogenation of amides to amines using HCO₂H/NEt₃ as the reducing agent is reported for the first time. The catalyst system consisting of [Ru(2-methylallyl)₂(COD)], 1,1,1-tris(diphenylphosphinomethyl) ethane (triphos) and Bis(trifluoromethane sulfonimide) (HNTf₂) performed well for deoxygenative reduction of various secondary and tertiary amides into the corresponding amines in high yields with excellent selectivities, and exhibits high tolerance toward functional groups including those that are reduction-sensitive. The choice of hydrogen source and acid co-catalyst is critical for catalysis. Mechanistic studies suggest that the reductive amination of the in situ generated alcohol and amine via borrowing hydrogen is the dominant pathway.
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Introduction

The ubiquity of amines in drugs, natural products, agrochemicals, dyes, polymers and other fine chemicals and in diverse organic transformations has resulted in a great demand for the efficient preparation of these compounds.¹ Among these existing methods, the deoxygenative reduction of readily accessible amides is recognized as one of the most convenient and straightforward routes for the construction of amines.² Though useful, traditional methods strongly rely on the use of (over)stoichiometric amounts of highly reactive lithium aluminum hydride or borane to realize this transformation are generally fraught with many drawbacks, such as the use of hazardous chemicals, tedious workup procedures, narrow substrate scope, low functional group tolerance and the generation of large amounts of waste by-products.³ In this context, a catalytic reduction approach that overcomes these drawbacks would be more attractive and atom-economic. Recently impressive advances in catalytic hydrosilylation⁴ and hydroboration⁵ of amides into amines via C–O bond cleavage have been reported (Scheme 1a), but the concomitant generation of copious amounts of undesired byproducts remains problematic. There has been a long standing interest in the

Scheme 1. Catalytic Reduction of Amides to Amines via C–O Bond Cleavage.
development of transition-metal catalysts for deoxygenative hydrogenation of amides to amines (Scheme 1a).\[^6\]\[13\] Although appealing, heterogeneous catalytic deoxygenative hydrogenation of amides is often plagued by harsh conditions and poor selectivity.\[^7\]\[10\] Recently, there has been increasing interest in developing homogeneous catalysts which could operate more selectively under milder reaction conditions for deoxygenative hydrogenation of amides.\[^8\]

Pioneering work by Cole-Hamilton and co-workers revealed that a homogeneous catalyst generated in situ from Ru(acac)$_3$ and triphos could work well for deoxygenative hydrogenation of amides with good to excellent selectivities, and introduction of an acid co-catalyst could improve the catalytic efficiency.\[^8c,g\]\[11\] Subsequently, the research groups of Klankermayer,\[^8d\]\[12\] Beller\[^8f\]\[13\] and Zhou\[^8i\]\[14\] independently developed similar Ru catalytic systems for highly selective deoxygenative hydrogenation of secondary, tertiary amides and lactams in the presence of acid co-catalysts. Zhou and coworkers also accomplished highly selective deoxygenative hydrogenation of secondary amides and lactams with an iridium pincer catalyst and B(C$_6$F$_5$)$_3$ co-catalyst.\[^8k\]

Saito et al. reported highly selective deoxygenative hydrogenation of $\varepsilon$-caprolactam catalyzed by a sterically confined bipyridine-ruthenium complex.\[^8b\]\[15\] Recently, Milstein et al. described the combination of a manganese pincer catalyst with B(C$_6$F$_5$)$_3$ co-catalyst for hydrogenation of secondary, tertiary amides and lactams into amines with excellent selectivity.\[^8l\]\[16\] More recently, Paradies et al. achieved metal-free frustrated Lewis pair catalyzed highly selective deoxygenative hydrogenation of tertiary amides with the assistance of oxalyl chloride.\[^8n\]\[17\] Despite these advances, the low electrophilicity of the amide carbonyl carbon and the difficulty in the control of C–O bond cleavage selectivity render this transformation rather challenging.\[^6–8\]\[18\] Therefore, the search for new efficient methods for deoxygenative reduction of amides is still an interesting and current research topic. The catalytic transfer hydrogenation using a hydrogen donor other than molecular hydrogen has been rapidly developed over the past decades as a promising alternative to the catalytic hydrogenation because of its safety, low cost, operational simplicity and abundant availability of hydrogen sources.\[^9\]\[19\] Consequently, a variety of catalytic systems have been established for transfer hydrogenation of polar functional groups, and alcohols, formic acid and formates rank among the most commonly used hydrogen donors in these reactions. While remarkable progress has been made in this field, there has been surprisingly little attention paid to the development of catalytic transfer hydrogenation of carboxylic acid derivatives.\[^10\]\[20\] In particular, catalytic deoxygenative transfer hydrogenation of amides to amines has not been realized so far. Charette et al. reported a highly chemoselective and metal-free transfer reduction of amides pre-activated by Tf$_2$O to amines with Hantzsch ester.\[^11\]\[21\] However, this process is non-catalytic, and the requirement of overstoichiometric amounts of expensive Tf$_2$O and Hantzsch ester greatly hampers its wider application in organic synthesis. Given the synthetic potential of catalytic transfer hydrogenation reactions and on the basis of our own experience\[^12\]\[22\] in this field, we became interested in exploring catalytic deoxygenative reduction of amides to amines with convenient and inexpensive hydrogen sources. Herein we present for the first time an efficient Ru-catalytic system which enables highly chemoselective reduction of differently substituted amides into the corresponding amines with good to excellent yields and high tolerance of functional groups by using HCO$_2$H/NEt$_3$ as the hydrogen source (Scheme 1b).

### Results and Discussion

We commenced our investigations by surveying reaction conditions employing N-phenylacetamide (1a) as the substrate and HCO$_2$H/NEt$_3$ (n/n$_2$=5/2) as the hydrogen source (Table 1 and supporting information (SI)). We first examined the catalytic performance of the ruthenium catalyst generated in situ from triphos and [Ru(2-methylallyl)$_2$(COD)] in the absence of any additive at 130°C in THF in a sealed flask. The reaction generated a mixture of the target product N-ethylaniline (2a) (33%) and aniline (2a') (17%) after 24 h (Table 1, entry 1). Obviously, both the reactivity and selectivity for the C–O bond cleavage needed to be improved. Several other frequently employed ruthenium complexes were then examined in this reaction, but none of them outperformed [Ru(2-methylallyl)$_2$(COD)] (see SI). It was reported that using Lewis or Bronsted acid additive could enhance the catalytic efficiency of Ru/triphos-catalyzed deoxygenative hydrogenation of amides\[^8\]\[23\] and N-alkylation of amines.\[^13\]\[24\] Indeed, evaluation of various acid additives in this reaction showed that the additive turned out to be the critical parameter (Table 1, entries 2–10), and HNTf$_2$ was the best choice with 79% yield of 2a and 79:13 C–O/C–N cleavage selectivity (Table 1, entry 5).

Further optimization revealed that both the yields and selectivities decreased when performing the reaction in 1, 4-dioxane, toluene, MTBE or DCE (Table 1, entries 11, 13–15). Gratefully, nBu$_4$O exhibited superior performance, delivering 2a in 91% yield with almost complete suppression of the C–N cleavage (Table 1, entry 12). The hydrogen source also played an important role in this reaction. Comparable results were observed when using HCO$_2$H alone as the reductant, but switching to HCO$_2$Na or HCO$_2$NH$_2$ significantly diminished the catalytic activity (Table 1, entries 16–18). Finally, control experiments indicated...
that no reaction occurred in the absence of either triphos or [Ru(2-methylallyl)₂(COD)] (Table 1, entries 19 and 20).

With the optimized conditions in hand, we then examined the reactivity of various secondary amides. As shown in Scheme 2, various N-aryl amides (1b–1v) underwent smooth reduction to afford the corresponding amine products (2b–2v) in good to excellent yields (73–93%) and C–O/C–N bond cleavage selectivities (12:1–39:1). Gratifyingly, the sensitive functional groups, such as –F, –Cl, –Br, –OH, –SMe, –CO₂Me and –CF₃, were well-tolerated under the reaction conditions, providing ample opportunities for further chemical diversification. The reduction appears to be affected by the position of the substituent on the aryl ring, and better yields and selectivities were observed in the reduction of substrates with para-substituent on the aryl ring. The deoxygenative transfer hydrogenation of aliphatic N-phenyl amides (3a–3f) also proceeded well to provide the target products (4a–4f) in high yields with high selectivities, and variation of length and size of the alkyl groups did not significantly affect the reaction outcome. Notably, ethyl 4-butyramidobenzoate (3g) reacted well to furnish 4g in 83% yield and a 8:1 selectivity, which is an intermediate for the synthesis of tetracaine hydrochloride, a potent local anesthetic and antipruritic.[14] The N-phenyl amides 3h and 3i were competent reaction partners with the ether and ester groups kept intact throughout the reaction. The N-phenyl amides with aromatic acyls (3k–3p) also successfully engaged in the reduction. Notably, a gram-scale reduction of 1a was conducted smoothly under the optimized conditions to give 2a in 89% yield.
yield. However, no reaction was observed when unactivated amides such as N-benzyl acetamide or N-benzyl benzamide was employed.

To further explore the scope of this reaction, we then evaluated the deoxygenative reduction of various tertiary amides under the optimized reaction conditions (Scheme 3). It was found that both the N-aryl acetamides (5a–5g) and N-phenyl amides (5i–5m) performed well, affording the corresponding amine products (6a–6g, 6i–6m) in 80–93% yields and 12:1–33:1 C=O/C=N bond cleavage selectivities. When N-methyl-N-phenylcinnamamide (5h) was employed, both the amide and the C=CH moieties were reduced to give the product 6h in 76% yield with a 17:1 selectivity. The N-heterocyclic amides (5n–5p) were also readily converted to the target products (6n–6p) in high yields and selectivities. Finally, primary amides such as benzamide and butyramide were investigated under the optimized conditions, but no reaction took place.

Afterward, we turned to testing this deoxygenative reduction with lactams (Scheme 4). Good yields were achieved for products 8a–8c derived from pyrrolidin-2-ones (7a, 7b) and piperidin-2-one (7c). The reaction with indolin-2-one (7d) and 3,4-dihydroquinolin-2 (1H)-one (7e) worked well, delivering 8d and 8e in 80% and 79% yields, respectively. Notably, an array of 3-substituted-3,4-dihydro-quinolin-2(1H)-ones (7f–7l) underwent the reduction smoothly in good to excellent product yields, and the N(4)-amino group showed no deleterious effect on the reaction efficiency. Furthermore, when 3-methylquinolinol-2(1H)-one (7g') was employed, the imine functionality was not tolerated, and the reduction product 8g was isolated in 88% yield. Similarly, the lactam 7m with a seven-membered ring afforded the target product 8m in 80% yield. Importantly, side products from C=C bond cleavage were not detected in these reactions.

Two reaction pathways have been proposed for the homogeneous deoxygenative reduction of amides into amines: (1) direct reduction via imine intermediates;[4,8a,e,i] (2) initial reduction of amides to form amines and alcohols via hemiaminal intermediates and subsequent reductive amination via a borrowing hydrogen process.[8d] In order to gain insight into the reaction mechanism, additional experiments were performed. Under the standard reaction conditions, the deoxygenative reduction of 3k with DCO2D/NEt3 afforded the product 4k in 87% yield with 95% deuterium incorporation exclusively at the α-CH2 position (Scheme 5a). This result indicates the involvement of an imine key intermediate in this reaction. The successful conversion of N,1-diphenylmethanimine (9) into 4k under the same reaction conditions supports this (Scheme 5b). Moreover, under the standard reaction conditions, the reaction of 3k with 3,5-dimethylbenzyl alcohol (10) afforded a mixture of 4k (36%), N-(3,5-dimethylbenzyl)aniline (11) (63%) and benzyl alcohol (12) (3%) (Scheme 5c), and a mixture of 4k (27%), N-benzyl-3,5-dimethylaniline (14) (72%) and aniline (2a') (69%) was obtained in the reaction of 3,5-dimethylaniline (13) and 3k (Scheme 5d). These results suggest that the reductive amination of the in situ generated alcohol and amine via a borrowing hydrogen process may be the dominant pathway. This was further supported by the fact that alkylation of 2a' with 12 gave the expected product 4k in 74% yield (Scheme 5e).

Furthermore, the ESI-HRMS analysis of the reaction system of 3k under the standard conditions indicated...
the formation of the cationic [(triphos)Ru(η\(^2\)-O\(_2\)CH)]\(^+\) (S = solvent, undetected) complex during the reaction. When using the separately prepared [(triphos)Ru(η\(^2\)-O\(_2\)CH)(THF)(NTf\(_2\))] (C1)\(^{15}\) alone as the catalyst for transfer reduction of 3k, the desired product 4k was obtained in 89% yield (Scheme 6a). In addition, the stoichiometric reaction of C1 with 3k resulted in the formation of a mixture of imine 9, aldehyde 15, amine 2a’ and alcohol 12 (Scheme 6b). This is consistent with the reduction proceeding via a hemiaminal intermediate, although other possibilities cannot be excluded. The ESI-HRMS result and the effect of C1 appear to suggest that a C1 analogue is the resting state of catalytic cycle.

Based on these results and previous reports, \(^{4,8a,c,d,e,i}\) a plausible reaction mechanism is depicted in Scheme 7. Initially, the Ru-catalyzed transfer hydrogenation of amide 3k leads to the generation of the hemiaminal intermediate 16. The collapse of 16 forms aldehyde 15 and amine 2a’. The following reduction of 15 furnishes alcohol 12. The subsequent alkylation of 2a’ with 12 via borrowing hydrogen affords imine 9 (path a). Alternatively, 9 could be generated through reductive amination of 15 and 2a’ (path b) or direct dehydration of 16 (path c). Finally, reduction of 9 gives the target product 4k.

**Conclusion**

In conclusion, we have developed a new, general and efficient protocol for the deoxygenative transfer hydrogenation of various amides into the corresponding amines with HCO\(_2\)H/NEt\(_3\) as the hydrogen source under Ru catalysis. The reaction is generally high-yielding, highly selective for C-O bond cleavage and tolerant of diverse functionality. This operationally simple method offers a valuable alternative to the currently known methods for amide reduction. Further studies to develop more efficient catalytic systems based on earth-abundant transition-metals with wider substrate scope under milder conditions for these transformations are currently underway in our laboratory.

**Experimental Section**

For details of instruments used and the general experimental procedures, see the Supporting Information.

**General Procedure for Deoxygenative Reduction of Amides**

To a pressure tube were sequentially added amide 1 (0.25 mmol), [Ru(2-methylallyl)]\(_2\)(COD)] (7.19 mg, 5.0 mol%), triphos (15.62 mg, 10 mol%), HCO\(_2\)H/NEt\(_3\) (n/n = 5/2, n (HCO\(_2\)H) = 1.25 mmol, n(Et\(_3\)N) = 0.5 mmol, 135 μL), HNTf\(_2\) (7.05 mg, 10 mol%) and dibutyl ether (1.0 mL). After cooling to ambient temperature, the mixture was diluted with EtOAc and stirred at 130°C for 24 h. After cooling to ambient temperature, the mixture was diluted with EtOAc and stirred at 130°C for 24 h. After cooling to ambient temperature, the mixture was diluted with EtOAc and stirred at 130°C for 24 h.
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