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Although many platinum cluster complexes have been isolated, hexanuclear clusters have remained elusive, and there are no reported octahedral $\mathrm{Pt}_{6}$ clusters. ${ }^{1}$ The only simple $\mathrm{Pt}_{6}$ cluster remains the anionic $\left[\mathrm{Pt}_{6}(\mu-\mathrm{CO})_{6}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}\right]^{2-},{ }^{2}$ which has distorted trigonal prismatic stereochemistry, although several clusters are now known in which two $\left[\mathrm{Pt}_{3}(\mu-\mathrm{CO})_{3}\left(\mathrm{PR}_{3}\right)_{3}\right]$ or related clusters sandwich a main group metal atom. ${ }^{3}$ This article reports two new types of $\mathrm{Pt}_{6}$ clusters, which are interconvertible by the gain or loss of two electrons and one of which is shown to have octahedral stereochemistry.

Reduction of equimolar amounts of $\left[\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SMe}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]$ and [ $\left.\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}(\mathrm{dppm})\right]$, dppm $=\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{PPh}_{2}$, with $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ under a CO atmosphere gave the dark red-purple, air-sensitive cluster [ $\mathrm{Pt}_{6}-$ $\left.(\mu-\mathrm{CO})_{6}(\mu-\mathrm{dppm})_{3}\right], 1$, in high yield. ${ }^{4}$ Cluster 1 is characterized spectroscopically to have a structure in which two $\mathrm{Pt}_{3}(\mu-\mathrm{CO})_{3}$ triangles are bridged by three $\mu$-dppm ligands: it has approximate $D_{3 h}$ symmetry; $\mathbf{1}$ is isostructural with the palladium analog which was reported recently and whose structure was determined crystallographically, ${ }^{5}$ and its spectroscopic properties are very similar to those of trinuclear clusters $\left[\mathrm{Pt}_{3}(\mu-\mathrm{CO})_{3}\right.$ $\left.\left(\mathrm{PR}_{3}\right)_{3}\right]^{3}$ In particular, the IR and NMR data establish that all carbonyl and $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ groups and phosphorus atoms are equivalent and that the carbonyls are doubly bridging. There is no evidence for intertriangle metal-metal bonding, and it can be considered

[^0]as two clusters of the known type $\left[\mathrm{Pt}_{3}(\mu-\mathrm{CO})_{3} \mathrm{~L}_{3}\right]^{1,3}$ held together only by the $\mu$-dppm ligands.

Complex 1 is easily oxidized to give the brown-black cluster cation $\left[\mathrm{Pt}_{6}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}(\mu \text {-dppm })_{3}\right]^{2+}, 2$ (eqs 1 and 2$){ }^{6}$ In 2, the IR

(2)
and NMR data clearly show that the carbonyl ligands are terminal. In the room temperature NMR, the carbonyl and $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ groups and phosphorus atoms are equivalent, but each resonance splits into two equal intensity resonances at low temperature. ${ }^{6}$ This cluster was characterized crystallographically as the $\mathrm{BPh}_{4}{ }^{-}$, salt, and the structure is shown in Figure $1 .{ }^{7}$

The metal core in 2 is roughly octahedral, but there are distortions from regular geometry. In particular, there are three sets of $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{Pt}$ distances. Those bridged by the dppm ligands (a, eq 2) are shortest, those perpendicular to the $C_{3}$ axis (c, eq 2) are intermediate, and the remaining bonds (b, eq 2) are longest. The structural changes on conversion of 1 to 2 are illustrated in eqs 1 and 2. The $\mathrm{Pt}_{3}$ triangles are twisted to go from the trigonal prism to the octahedron, with the carbonyl and phosphine ligands moving out of the $\mathrm{Pt}_{3}$ plane, and the carbonyl groups moving from bridging to terminal positions. Complex 2, in idealized form, thus has $D_{3}$ symmetry, consistent with the room temperature NMR data, although the lowtemperature NMR data indicate a lower symmetry $C_{3}$ ground state geometry. The $C_{3}$ axis is retained on moving from 1 to 2 as is clear in eq 2.

The three known $\mathrm{Pt}_{6}$ clusters have different electron counts and geometries. Clusters 2, 1, and $\left[\mathrm{Pt}_{6}(\mu-\mathrm{CO})_{6}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}\right]^{2-}, 3$, have 82,84 , and 86 -electron configurations and have octahedral, 2 $\times$ triangular, and trigonal prismatic geometries, respectively. It is interesting that either oxidation or reduction of the 84electron clusters leads to a net attraction between the triangular units. ${ }^{1,2}$ PSEPT theory predicts that an octahedral cluster should require an 86 -electron configuration, but the lower number for 2 is not surprising since platinum typically uses only two p-orbitals in bonding. ${ }^{1,2}$ The cluster $\left[\mathrm{Rh}_{6}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{CO}\right)_{4}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}(\mu\right.$ $\mathrm{dppm})_{3}$ ] is structurally related to 2 but has an additional four $\mu_{3}$ - CO groups and has the 86 -electron configuration, while $\left[\mathrm{Pd}_{6}-\right.$ $\left.\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{CO}\right)_{4}\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)_{7}\right]$ has a very different ligand environment compared to 2 but has an octahedral metal core and an 82electron configuration. ${ }^{8}$ To gain further insight into the bonding in 2, EHMO calculations were carried out on the model complex $\left[\mathrm{Pt}_{6}(\mathrm{CO})_{12}\right]^{2+}, 4$, in which the dppm ligands of 2 are replaced
(7) X-ray data for $2\left[\mathrm{BPh}_{4}\right]_{2} \cdot \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \cdot 0.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{O} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}_{132} \mathrm{H}_{115} \mathrm{~B}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{P}_{6}-$ $\mathrm{Pt}_{6}$; fw $=3270.1$; triclinic, space group $P 1 ; a=18.676(4) \AA, b=19.556$ (5) $\AA, c=21.149(5) ~ \AA, \alpha=108.27(1)^{\circ}, \beta=105.52(1)^{\circ}, \gamma=109.83(1)^{\circ}$, $V=6266(4) \AA^{3}, Z=2, R_{1}(F)=0.0737, w R_{2}\left(F^{2}\right)=0.2049$ for 5540 observations with $F>4 \sigma(F)$ with maximum $2 \theta=40^{\circ}$.
(8) (a) Ceriotti, A.; Ciani, G.; Garlaschelli, L.; Sartorelli, U.; Sironi, A. J. Organomet. Chem. 1982, 229, C9. (b) Klein, H. F.; Mager, M.; Florke, U.; Haupt, H. Organometallics 1993, 12, 4721. (c) The 84e cluster [ $\mathrm{Pt}_{6}-$ $\left.\left(\mathrm{SO}_{2}\right)_{3}(\mathrm{CNXy})_{9}\right]$ is thought to have capped trigonal bipyramidal geometry. Haggitt, J. L.; Mingos, D. M. P. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1994, 1013.


Figure 1. View of the structure of the cation $\left[\mathrm{Pt}_{6}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}(\mu \text { - } \mathrm{dppm})_{3}\right]^{2+}$ (phenyl groups omitted). Metal-metal distances ( $\AA$ ) followed by bond type: $\operatorname{Pt}(1)-\operatorname{Pt}(2)=2.649(3), \mathrm{a} ; \operatorname{Pt}(1)-\operatorname{Pt}(3)=2.808(3), \mathrm{c} ; \operatorname{Pt}(1)-$ $\operatorname{Pt}(5)=2.799(2), \mathrm{c} ; \mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{Pt}(6)=2.907(2), \mathrm{b} ; \operatorname{Pt}(2)-\mathrm{Pt}(3)=2.916-$ (2), b; $\mathrm{Pt}(2)-\mathrm{Pt}(4)=2.781(2), \mathrm{c} ; \mathrm{Pt}(2)-\mathrm{Pt}(6)=2.802(2), \mathrm{c} ; \mathrm{Pt}(3)-$ $\mathrm{Pt}(4)=2.665(2), \mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{Pt}(3)-\mathrm{Pt}(5)=2.784(2), \mathrm{c} ; \mathrm{Pt}(4)-\mathrm{Pt}(5)=2.883(3)$, $\mathrm{b} ; \mathrm{Pt}(4)-\mathrm{Pt}(6)=2.790(3), \mathrm{c} ; \operatorname{Pt}(5)-\mathrm{Pt}(6)=2.656(2)$, a.
by CO. The frontier orbitals of a $\left[\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\right]$ fragment are hybrid $\mathrm{d}_{x z} \mathrm{p}_{x}$ (occupied, A) and $\mathrm{sp}_{z}$ (vacant, B) orbitals. In the $D_{3}$ conformation the overlap of these orbitals within each original $\mathrm{Pt}_{3}$ triangle is weak and the MOs originating from the fragment orbitals A and B are easily identified (Figure 2). This is clearly an unfavorable conformation for the $C_{3}\left[\mathrm{Pt}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}\right]^{+}$unit. However, there is now strong bonding between the two triangular units as shown in Figure 2. Three strongly bonding MOs ( $1 e+2 a_{1}$ ) are formed by overlap of the e combination of fragment orbitals $A$ and the $a_{1}$ combination of fragment orbitals B , and all are occupied. The orbital $2 \mathrm{a}_{1}$ is the HOMO. The a combination of fragment MOs A overlap only weakly and give MOs $1 \mathrm{a}_{1}$ and $1 \mathrm{a}_{2}$ (Figure 2). The simplest application of the PSEPT theory would predict that six fragment MOs A would give three bonding and three antibonding MOs while the six fragment MOs B would give one bonding and five nonbonding or antibonding MOs and that the four bonding MOs would be occupied; this would lead to an 80 -electron count. The EHMO calculations confirm the presence of four bonding MOs but indicate that the weakly antibonding MO $1 \mathrm{a}_{2}$ is occupied (Figure 2), thus leading to an 82 -electron count. The calculations also predict the relative strengths of the nonequivalent $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{Pt}$ bonds as shown by the correlation of overlap populations in 4 and


Figure 2. Correlation diagram for formation of $\left[\mathrm{Pt}_{6}(\mathrm{CO})_{12}\right]^{2+}$, with $D_{3}$ symmetry, from two $\left[\mathrm{Pt}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}\right]^{2+}$ fragments, with $C_{3}$ symmetry.

Table 1. Correlation of Overlap Populations for the $D_{3}$ Structure of $\left[\mathrm{Pt}_{5}(\mathrm{CO})_{12}\right]^{2+}$ with the Observed Mean $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{Pt}$ Distances in 2

| $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{Pt}$ bond $^{a}$ | $\mathrm{OP}(\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{Pt})^{b}$ | mean $d(\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{Pt}) / \AA$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a | 0.22 | 2.66 |
| b | 0.15 | 2.90 |
| c | 0.18 | 2.79 |

${ }^{a}$ See eq 2 for definitions. ${ }^{b} \mathrm{OP}=$ overlap population.
average $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{Pt}$ distances in $\mathbf{2}$ given in Table 1 and thus indicate that the variations are due to asymmetry in cluster bonding and not to the presence of $\mu$-dppm ligands in 2 . The 82 -electron count is clearly required in order to fill the radial, strongly $\mathrm{Pt}-$ Pt bonding MO, while leaving the strongly antibonding orbitals vacant. This is therefore likely to be the favored electron count for octahedral platinum clusters. Clusters 1 and 2 appear to be the first examples of platinum clusters differing only by two electrons and so are important in establishing the dependence of structure on electron count in clusters where only partial use of tangential orbitals is invoked.

Acknowledgment. We thank the NSERC (Canada) for financial support and Dr. N. C. Payne for access to X-ray facilities.

Supporting Information Available: Details of the X-ray structure determination and tables of X -ray data ( 17 pages). This material is contained in many libraries on microfiche, immediately follows this article in the microfilm version of the journal, can be ordered from the ACS, and can be downloaded from the Internet; see any current masthead page for ordering information and Internet access instructions.

JA9507776


[^0]:    (1) (a) Mingos, D. M. P.; Wales, D. J. Introduction to Cluster Chemistry; Prentice-Hall: Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1990. (b) Ma, L.; Williams, G. K.; Shapley, J. R. Coord. Chem. Rev. 1993, 128, 261.
    (2) (a) Longoni, G.; Chini, P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1976, 98, 7225. (b) Underwood, D. J.; Hoffmann, R.; Tatsumi, K.; Nakamura, A.; Yamamoto, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 5968. Note that $\left[\mathrm{Pt}(\mu-\mathrm{Cl})_{2}\right]_{6}$ has an octahedral core of platinum atoms but there is no $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{Pt}$ bonding. Brodersen, V. K.; Thiele, G.; Schnering, H. G. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1965, 337, 120.
    (3) (a) Imhof, D.; Venanzi, L. M. Chem. Soc. Rev. 1994, 185. (b) Tanase, T.; Horiuchi, T.; Yamamoto, Y.; Kobayashi, K. J. Organomet. Chem. 1992 440, 1. (c) Hallam, M. F.; Mingos, D. M. P.; Adatia, T.; McPartlin, M. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1988, 335. (d) Albinati, A.; Dahmen, K.-H.; Demartin, F.; Forward, J. M.; Longley, C. J.; Mingos, D. M. P.; Venanzi, L. M. Inorg. Chem. 1992, 31, 2223. (e) Yamamoto, Y.; Yamazaki, H. Inorg. Chim. Acta 1994, 217, 121.
    (4) Yield of 1: $96 \%$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{81} \mathrm{H}_{66} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{P}_{6} \mathrm{Pt}_{6}: \mathrm{C}, 39.0 ; \mathrm{H}, 2.7$. Found: C, 39.4; H, 2.8. IR (Nujol): $v(\mathrm{CO})=1790(\mathrm{vs}), 1773(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{sh}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. NMR in $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}: \delta\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right)=4.29\left[\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} J(\mathrm{PtH})=44 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ of dppm $] ; \delta\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right)=240.5\left[1: 8: 18: 8: 1\right.$ quin, $\left.6 \mathrm{C},{ }^{1} J(\mathrm{PtC})=757 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mu-\mathrm{CO}\right] ;$ $\delta\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{P}\right)=37.6\left[\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{P},{ }^{1} J(\mathrm{PtP})=5289,{ }^{2} J(\mathrm{PtP})=274 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} J(\mathrm{PP})=236\right.$ Hz . NMR in $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $-90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}: \delta\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right)=4.51$ [s, br, $6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ of dppm]; $\delta\left({ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=36.7\left[\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{P},{ }^{1} J(\mathrm{PtP})=5289 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{2} J(\mathrm{PtP})=277 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} J(\mathrm{PP})=216\right.$ $\mathrm{Hz}]$. Note that the equimolar mixture of $\left[\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}(\mathrm{dppm})\right]$ and $\left[\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SMe}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]$ is conveniently prepared in situ by addition of dppm ( 1 mol ) to $\left[\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}-\right.$ $\left(\mathrm{SMe}_{2}\right)_{2}$ ] ( 2 mol ) in THF.
    (5) Holah, D. G.; Hughes, A. N.; Krysa, E.; Magnuson, V. R. Organometallics 1993, 12, 4721 .
    (6) Typically, 1 was oxidized by reaction with $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ to give $2\left(\mathrm{O}_{2}-\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{CCF}_{3}\right)_{2}$ in $98 \%$ yield (the byproduct is presumed to be $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ ). IR (Nujol): $v(\mathrm{CO})=2015(\mathrm{vs}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1} . \mathrm{NMR}$ in $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}: \delta\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right)=5.27[\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}$, ${ }^{3} J(\mathrm{PtH})=62 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ of dppm$] ; \delta\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right)=174.6\left[\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{C},{ }^{1} J(\mathrm{PtC})=1284\right.$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{CO}] ; \delta\left({ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right) \xlongequal{=} 11.0\left[\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{P},{ }^{1} J(\mathrm{PtP})=3633 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mu\right.$-dppm]. NMR in $\mathrm{CD}_{2}-$ $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $-90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}: \delta\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right)=6.08\left[\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{br}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}{ }^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{H}\right.$ of dppm$], 4.76[\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{br}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH} H^{\mathrm{b}}$ of dppm$] ; \delta\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right)=179.6\left[\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{C},{ }^{1} J(\mathrm{PtC})=1290 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CO}\right] ; 171.4$ $\left[\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{C},{ }^{1} J(\mathrm{PtC})=1300 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CO}\right] ; \delta\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{P}\right)=14.0\left[\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{P},{ }^{1} J(\mathrm{PtP})=3810 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $\mu$-dppm], 8.4 [s, 3P, ${ }^{1} J(\mathrm{PtP})=3423 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mu$-dppm]. Coalesced at $-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $\delta\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right)=5.45\left[\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{br}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right.$ of dppm$] ; \delta\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right)=173[\mathrm{v} \mathrm{br}, \mathrm{CO}] ; \delta\left({ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)$ $=11.0\left[\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{br}, 6 \mathrm{P},{ }^{1} J(\mathrm{PtP})=3700 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mu-\mathrm{dppm}\right] .2$ has also been prepared as salts with $\mathrm{PF}_{6}{ }^{-}$or $\mathrm{BPh}_{4}{ }^{-}$by anion exchange, with $\mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}$by reaction of 1 with $\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{NCMe}_{4} \mathrm{BF}_{4}\right.$ or with $\mathrm{ReO}_{4}{ }^{-}$by oxidation of 1 with $\mathrm{Re}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7} .2$ can be reduced to 1 by reaction with $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4} / \mathrm{CO}$.

