US Particle Accelerator School

Fort Collins, Colorado June 2013

Design of Electron Storage and Damping Rings

Part 5: Coupling and Alignment

David Newton and Andy Wolski

The Cockcroft Institute, and the University of Liverpool, UK





Part 5: Coupling and Alignment

In this lecture, we shall:

- discuss how vertical emittance is generated by alignment and tuning errors;
- describe methods for calculating the vertical emittance in the presence of known alignment and tuning errors;
- discuss briefly how a storage ring can be tuned to minimise the vertical emittance (even when the alignment and tuning errors are not well known).

1

Recall that the natural (horizontal) emittance in a storage ring is given by:

$$\varepsilon_0 = C_q \gamma^2 \frac{I_5}{I_2 - I_4}.\tag{1}$$

If the horizontal and vertical motion are independent of each other (i.e. if there is no betatron coupling) then we can apply the same analysis to the vertical motion as we did to the horizontal.

Then, if we build a ring that is completely flat (i.e. no vertical bending), then there is no vertical dispersion:

$$\eta_y = \eta_{py} = 0 \qquad \therefore \qquad \mathcal{H}_y = 0 \qquad \therefore \qquad I_{5y} = 0. \tag{2}$$

This implies that the vertical emittance will damp to zero.

Design of Electron Storage Rings	2	Part 5: Coupling and Alignment

Fundamental lower limit on the vertical emittance

However, in deriving equation (1) for the natural emittance, we assumed that all photons were emitted directly along the instantaneous direction of motion of the electron.

In fact, photons are emitted with a distribution with angular width $1/\gamma$ about the direction of motion of the electron. This leads to some vertical "recoil" that excited vertical betatron motion, resulting in a non-zero vertical emittance.



A detailed analysis* leads to the following formula for the fundamental lower limit on the vertical emittance:

$$\varepsilon_{y,min} = \frac{13}{55} \frac{C_q}{j_y I_2} \oint \frac{\beta_y}{|\rho|^3} ds.$$
(3)

*T. Raubenheimer, SLAC Report 387 (1992)

Design of Electron Storage Rings

To estimate a typical value for the lower limit on the vertical emittance, let us write equation (3) in the approximate form:

$$\varepsilon_{y,min} \approx \frac{C_q \langle \beta_y \rangle}{4j_y I_2} \oint \frac{1}{|\rho|^3} ds = \frac{\langle \beta_y \rangle}{4} \frac{j_z}{j_y} \frac{\sigma_\delta^2}{\gamma^2}.$$
 (4)

Using some typical values ($\langle \beta_y \rangle = 20 \text{ m}$, $j_z = 2$, $j_y = 1$, $\sigma_{\delta} = 10^{-3}$, $\gamma = 6000$), we find:

$$\varepsilon_{y,min} \approx 0.3 \,\mathrm{pm.}$$
 (5)

The lowest vertical emittance achieved so far in a storage ring is around a picometer, several times larger than the fundamental lower limit.

Design of Electron Storage Rings	4	Part 5: Coupling and Alignment

Practical limits on the vertical emittance

In practice, vertical emittance in a (nominally flat) storage ring is dominated by two effects:

- residual vertical dispersion, which couples longitudinal and vertical motion;
- betatron coupling, which couples horizontal and vertical motion.

The dominant causes of residual vertical dispersion and betatron coupling are magnet alignment errors, in particular:

- tilts of the dipoles around the beam axis;
- vertical alignment errors on the quadrupoles;
- tilts of the quadrupoles around the beam axis;
- vertical alignment errors of the sextupoles.

Let us consider these errors in a little more detail...

5

Steering errors lead to a distortion of the closed orbit, which generates vertical dispersion and (through beam offset in the sextupoles) betatron coupling.

A vertical steering error may be generated by:

• rotation of a dipole, so that the field is not exactly vertical:



• vertical misalignment of a quadrupole, so that there is a horizontal magnetic field at the location of the reference trajectory:



Coupling errors: quadrupole rotations

Coupling errors lead to transfer of horizontal betatron motion and dispersion into the vertical plane: in both cases, the result is an increase in vertical emittance.

Coupling may result from rotation of a quadrupole, so that the field contains a skew component:







When particles pass through a skew quadrupole, they receive a vertical kick that depends on their horizontal offset. As a result, quantum excitation of the horizontal emittance feeds into the vertical plane.



Design of Electron Storage Rings

Coupling errors: sextupole misalignments

A vertical beam offset in a sextupole has the same effect as a skew quadrupole. To understand this, recall that a sextupole field is given by:

$$B_x = (B\rho)k_2xy, \tag{6}$$

$$B_y = \frac{1}{2} (B\rho) k_2 \left(x^2 - y^2 \right). \quad (7)$$



A vertical offset can be represented by the transformation $y\mapsto y+\Delta y$:

$$B_x \mapsto (B\rho)k_2\Delta y x + (B\rho)k_2xy, \tag{8}$$

`)

$$B_y \mapsto -(B\rho)k_2\Delta y \, y + \frac{1}{2}(B\rho)k_2\left(x^2 - y^2\right) - \frac{1}{2}(B\rho)k_2\Delta y^2.$$
(9)

The first terms in the expressions in (8) and (9) constitute a skew quadrupole of strength $(B\rho)k_2\Delta y$.

Design of Electron Storage Rings 8 Part 5: Coupling and Alignment

Vertical emittance and magnet alignment

When designing and building a storage ring, we need to know how accurately the magnets must be aligned, to keep the vertical emittance below some specified limit (though beam-based tuning methods also normally have to be applied).

In the next few slides, we shall see how to derive expressions that relate:

- closed orbit distortion;
- vertical dispersion;
- betatron coupling;

and (ultimately):

• vertical emittance,

to the alignment errors on the magnets.

9

In considering the closed orbit, it is helpful to work with action-angle variables J_y and ϕ_y , in terms of which we can write the coordinate and momentum of a particle at any point:

$$y = \sqrt{2\beta_y J_y} \cos \phi_y, \qquad p_y = -\sqrt{\frac{2J_y}{\beta_y}} (\sin \phi_y + \alpha_y \cos \phi_y).$$
 (10)

Suppose there is a steering error at some location $s = s_0$ which gives a "kick" $\Delta \theta$ to the vertical momentum. The trajectory of a particle will close on itself (i.e. will be the closed orbit) if:

$$\sqrt{2\beta_{y0}J_{y0}}\cos\phi_{y0} = \sqrt{2\beta_{y0}J_{y0}}\cos\phi_{y0}, \qquad (11)$$

$$\sqrt{\frac{2J_{y0}}{2}}(\sin\phi_{y0} + \alpha_{y0}\cos\phi_{y0}) = -\sqrt{\frac{2J_{y0}}{2}}(\sin\phi_{y0} + \alpha_{y0}\cos\phi_{y0}) - \Delta\theta.$$



Closed orbit distortion from steering errors

Solving equations (11) and (12) for the action and angle at s_0 :

$$J_{y0} = \frac{\beta_{y0} \Delta \theta^2}{8 \sin^2 \pi \nu_y}, \qquad \phi_{y0} = \pi \nu_y, \tag{13}$$

where $\nu_y = \mu_y/2\pi$ is the vertical tune. Note that if the tune is an integer, there is no solution for the closed orbit: even the smallest steering error will kick the beam out of the ring.

Note that with the above expressions for the action and the angle at $s = s_0$, we can write the coordinate fo the closed orbit at any point in the ring:

$$y_{co}(s) = \frac{\sqrt{\beta_y(s_0)\beta_y(s)}}{2\sin\pi\nu_y} \Delta\theta \cos\left[\pi\nu_y + \mu_y(s;s_0)\right], \quad (14)$$

where $\mu_y(s; s_0)$ is the phase advance from s_0 to s. Finally, adding up steering errors from all around the ring gives:

$$y_{co}(s) = \frac{\sqrt{\beta_y(s)}}{2\sin \pi \nu_y} \oint \sqrt{\beta_y(s')} \frac{d\theta}{ds'} \cos\left[\pi \nu_y + \mu_y(s;s')\right] ds'.$$
(15)

Design of Electron Storage Rings

It is often helpful to understand the size of the closed orbit error that may be expected from random quadrupole misalignments of a given magnitude. We can derive an expression for this from equation (15) for the closed orbit.

For a quadrupole of integrated forcusing strength k_1L , vertically misaligned from the reference trajectory by ΔY , the steering is:

$$\frac{d\theta}{ds} = (k_1 L) \Delta Y. \tag{16}$$

Squaring equation (15), then averaging over many seeds of random alignment errors (assuming that alignment errors between different quadrupoles are uncorrelated), we find:

$$\left\langle \frac{y_{co}^2(s)}{\beta_y(s)} \right\rangle = \frac{\langle \Delta Y^2 \rangle}{8 \sin^2 \pi \nu_y} \sum_{\text{quads}} \beta_y(k_1 L)^2.$$
(17)

Design of Electron Storage Rings

Part 5: Coupling and Alignment



Closed orbit distortion from steering errors

12

The ratio between the closed orbit rms and the magnet misalignment rms is sometimes known as the "orbit amplification factor": values are commonly in the range 10–100.

Of course the amplification factor is a statistical quantity, and the actual rms of the orbit distortion depends on the particular set of alignment errors present. In the context of low-emittance storage rings, vertical closed orbit errors are of concern for two reasons:

- Vertical steering generates vertical dispersion, which is a source of vertical emittance.
- Vertical orbit errors contribute to vertical beam offset in the sextupoles, which effectively generates skew quadrupole fields, which in turn lead to betatron coupling.

We have seen how to analyse the beam dynamics to understand the closed orbit distortion that arises from quadrupole alignment errors of a given magnitude.

Our goal is to relate quantities such as orbit distortion, vertical dispersion, coupling, and vertical emittance, to the alignment errors on the magnets.

We continue with betatron coupling...

Design of Electron Storage Rings	14	Part 5: Coupling and Alignment
Design of Electron Storage Kings	14	Fart 5. Coupling and Angliment

Betatron coupling

Betatron coupling describes the effects that can arise when the vertical motion of a particle depends on its horizontal motion, and vice-versa. Betatron coupling can arise (for example) from skew quadrupoles, and solenoids. In a storage ring, skew quadrupole fields ofen arise from quadrupole tilts, and from vertical alignment errors on sextupoles.



A full treatment of betatron coupling can become quite complex. Also, there are many different formalisms that can be used.

However, it is possible to follow a relatively straightforward analysis, to derive an (approximate) expression for the equilibrium emittances in the presence of coupling. The procedure is as follows:

- 1. Write down the equations of motion for a single particle in a beamline containing coupling.
- 2. Look for a "steady state" solution to the equations of motion, in which the horizontal and vertical actions are each constants of the motion.
- 3. Assume that the actions in the steady state solution are the equilibrium emittances (on the grounds that $\varepsilon = \langle J \rangle$), and that the sum of the horizontal and vertical emittance equals the natural emittance of the "ideal" lattice (i.e. the natural emittance of the lattice in the absence of errors).

This procedure can give some useful results, although because of the various approximations needed to write down and solve the equations of motion, the formulae are not always sufficiently accurate to determine the vertical emittance with the desired precision.

Betatron coupling

The details of the calculation are given in Appendix A. Here, we simply quote the results.

The horizontal and vertical emittances in the presence of coupling generated by skew quadrupoles in a lattice are:

$$\varepsilon_x = \frac{\varepsilon_0}{2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \kappa^2 / \Delta \omega^2}} \right),$$
 (18)

$$\varepsilon_y = \frac{\varepsilon_0}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \kappa^2 / \Delta \omega^2}} \right),$$
(19)

where ε_0 is the natural emittance, $\Delta \omega = 2\pi \Delta \nu / C$ ($\Delta \nu$ is the difference in the fractional parts of the horizontal and vertical tunes), and the "coupling strength" κ is found from:

$$\kappa e^{i\chi} = \frac{1}{C} \oint e^{i(\mu_x - \mu_y)} k_s \sqrt{\beta_x \beta_y} \, ds. \tag{20}$$

C is the ring circumference.

Design of Electron Storage Rings

As an illustration, we can plot the vertical emittance as a function of the "tune split" $\Delta \nu$, in a model of the ILC damping rings, with a single skew quadrupole (located at a point of zero dispersion – why?). The tunes are controlled by adjusting the regular (normal) quadrupoles in the lattice:



Note: the "simulation" results are based on emittance calculation using Chao's method, which we shall discuss later.

Design of Electron Storage Rings	18	Part 5: Coupling and Alignment
----------------------------------	----	--------------------------------

Betatron coupling: effect on betatron tunes

The presence of skew quadrupole errors in a storage ring affects the betatron tunes. In Appendix B, it is shown that the measured betatron frequencies ω_{\pm} are given (in terms of the frequencies ω_x and ω_y in the absence of errors) by:

$$\omega_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\omega_x + \omega_y \pm \sqrt{\kappa^2 + \Delta \omega^2} \right).$$
 (21)

This provides a useful method for measuring the coupling strength κ in a real lattice:



Major sources of coupling in storage rings are quadrupole tilts and sextupole alignment errors. Using the theory just developed, we can estimate the alignment tolerances on these magnets, for given optics and specified vertical emittance.

It is sufficient to find an expression for $\kappa/\Delta\omega$ in terms of the optical functions, magnet parameters, and rms alignment. Starting with equation (20), we take the modulus squared and use (for sextupoles) $k_s = k_2 \Delta Y_S$. Then, including a term to account for quadrupole tilts $\Delta \Theta_Q$, we find:

$$\left\langle \frac{\kappa^2}{\Delta\omega^2} \right\rangle \approx \frac{\langle \Delta Y_S^2 \rangle}{4\pi^2 \Delta\nu^2} \sum_{\text{sextupoles}} \beta_x \beta_y (k_2 l)^2 + \frac{\langle \Delta \Theta_Q^2 \rangle}{4\pi^2 \Delta\nu^2} \sum_{\text{quadrupoles}} \beta_x \beta_y (k_1 l)^2.$$
(22)

This equation allows us, using knowledge of the lattice design, to estimate the impact of magnet alignment errors on the betatron coupling. Note that ΔY_S is actually the closed orbit offset from the sextupole centre, so has a contribution from quadrupole (as well as sextupole) alignment errors.

Design of Electron Storage Rings 20 Part 5: Coupling and Alignment

Vertical dispersion

Vertical emittance is generated by vertical dispersion as well as by betatron coupling. Vertical emittance is in turn generated by:

- vertical closed orbit distortion (vertical steering);
- coupling of horizontal dispersion into the vertical plane (by skew quadrupole fields arising, e.g. from quadrupole tilts, or vertical alignment errors on sextupoles).

Our next goal is to estimate the amount of vertical dispersion generated from magnet alignment errors; we can then estimate the contribution to the vertical emittance. The equation of motion for a particle with momentum P is:

$$\frac{d^2y}{ds^2} = \frac{e}{P}B_x.$$
(23)

For small energy deviation δ , P is related to the reference momentum P_0 by:

$$P \approx (1+\delta)P_0. \tag{24}$$

We can write for the horizontal field (to first order in the derivatives):

$$B_x \approx B_{0x} + y \frac{\partial B_x}{\partial y} + x \frac{\partial B_x}{\partial x}.$$
 (25)

If we consider a particle following an off-momentum closed orbit, so that:

$$y = \eta_y \delta$$
, and $x = \eta_x \delta$, (26)

then, combining the above equations, we find to first order in δ :

$$\frac{d^2\eta_y}{ds^2} - k_1\eta_y \approx -k_{0s} + k_{1s}\eta_x.$$
 (27)

Design of Electron Storage Rings

Part 5: Coupling and Alignment

Vertical dispersion and magnet alignment errors

22

Equation (27) gives the "equation of motion" for the dispersion. It is similar to the equation of motion for the closed orbit:

$$\frac{d^2 y_{co}}{ds^2} - k_1 y_{co} \approx -k_{0s} + k_{1s} x_{co}.$$
 (28)

We can therefore immediately generalise the relationship (17) between the closed orbit and the quadrupole misalignments, to find for the dispersion:

$$\left\langle \frac{\eta_y^2}{\beta_y} \right\rangle = \frac{\langle \Delta Y_Q^2 \rangle}{8 \sin^2 \pi \nu_y} \sum_{\text{quads}} \beta_y (k_1 L)^2 + \frac{\langle \Delta \Theta_Q^2 \rangle}{8 \sin^2 \pi \nu_y} \sum_{\text{quads}} \eta_x^2 \beta_y (k_1 L)^2 + \frac{\langle \Delta Y_Q^2 \rangle}{8 \sin^2 \pi \nu_y} \sum_{\text{sexts}} \eta_x^2 \beta_y (k_2 L)^2.$$
(29)

Here, we assume that the skew dipole terms k_{0s} come from vertical alignment errors on the quads with mean square $\langle \Delta Y_Q^2 \rangle$, and the skew quads k_{1s} come from tilts on the quadrupoles with mean square $\langle \Delta \Theta_Q^2 \rangle$ and from vertical alignment errors on the sextupoles, with mean square $\langle \Delta Y_S^2 \rangle$, and that all alignment errors are uncorrelated.

Design of Electron Storage Rings

The final step is to relate the vertical dispersion to the vertical emittance. This is not too difficult. First, we can apply the formula that we derived in Lecture 1 for the natural emittance, to the vertical emittance:

$$\varepsilon_y = C_q \gamma^2 \frac{I_{5y}}{j_y I_2},\tag{30}$$

where j_y is the vertical damping partition number (=1, usually), and the synchrotron radiation integrals are given by:

$$I_{5y} = \oint \frac{\mathcal{H}_y}{|\rho|^3} \, ds, \qquad \text{where} \qquad \mathcal{H}_y = \gamma_y \eta_y^2 + 2\alpha_y \eta_y \eta_{py} + \beta_y \eta_{py}^2, \tag{31}$$

and

$$I_2 = \oint \frac{1}{\rho^2} ds. \tag{32}$$

Part 5: Coupling and Alignment

Design	of	Electron	Storage	Rinas
Design	01	Election	Storage	Rings

Vertical dispersion and vertical emittance

24

If the vertical dispersion is generated randomly, then it will, in general, *not* be correlated with the curvature $1/\rho$ of the reference trajectory[†]. Then, we can write:

$$I_{5y} \approx \langle \mathcal{H}_y \rangle \oint \frac{1}{|\rho|^3} \, ds = \langle \mathcal{H}_y \rangle I_3. \tag{33}$$

Hence, we can write for the vertical emittance:

$$\varepsilon_y \approx C_q \gamma^2 \langle \mathcal{H}_y \rangle \frac{I_3}{j_y I_2}.$$
 (34)

It is convenient to express the right hand side in terms of the natural rms energy spread:

$$\sigma_{\delta}^2 = C_q \gamma^2 \frac{I_3}{j_z I_2},\tag{35}$$

which gives:

$$\varepsilon_y \approx \frac{j_z}{j_y} \langle \mathcal{H}_y \rangle \sigma_\delta^2.$$
(36)

[†]This is not the case for the horizontal dispersion!

Design of Electron Storage Rings

Now, note the similarity between the action:

$$2J_y = \gamma_y y^2 + 2\alpha_y y p_y + \beta_y p_y^2, \qquad (37)$$

and the curly-H function:

$$\mathcal{H}_y = \gamma_y \eta_y^2 + 2\alpha_y \eta_y \eta_{py} + \beta_y \eta_{py}^2.$$
(38)

This implies that we can write:

$$\eta_y = \sqrt{\beta_y \mathcal{H}_y} \cos \phi_{\eta y}, \qquad \therefore \quad \left\langle \frac{\eta_y^2}{\beta_y} \right\rangle = \frac{1}{2} \langle \mathcal{H}_y \rangle.$$
 (39)

Combining equations (36) and (39) gives a useful (approximate) relationship, between the vertical dispersion and the vertical emittance:

$$\varepsilon_y \approx 2 \frac{j_z}{j_y} \left\langle \frac{\eta_y^2}{\beta_y} \right\rangle \sigma_\delta^2.$$
(40)

and Alignment

	Design of Electron Storage Rings	26	Part 5: Coupling
--	----------------------------------	----	------------------

Vertical dispersion and vertical emittance

Equation (29) tells us how the vertical dispersion depends on the magnet alignment:

$$\left\langle \frac{\eta_y^2}{\beta_y} \right\rangle = \frac{\langle \Delta Y_Q^2 \rangle}{8 \sin^2 \pi \nu_y} \sum_{\text{quads}} \beta_y (k_1 L)^2 + \frac{\langle \Delta \Theta_Q^2 \rangle}{8 \sin^2 \pi \nu_y} \sum_{\text{quads}} \eta_x^2 \beta_y (k_1 L)^2 + \frac{\langle \Delta Y_Q^2 \rangle}{8 \sin^2 \pi \nu_y} \sum_{\text{sexts}} \eta_x^2 \beta_y (k_2 L)^2.$$

Equation (40) tells us how the vertical emittance depends on the vertical dispersion:

$$\varepsilon_y \approx 2 \frac{j_z}{j_y} \left\langle \frac{\eta_y^2}{\beta_y} \right\rangle \sigma_\delta^2.$$
(41)

Simply combining these two equations gives us an equation for the contribution of the vertical dispersion to the emittance, in terms of the magnet alignment errors. It should be remembered that the total vertical emittance is found by adding together the contributions from betatron coupling (equations (19) and (22)) and vertical dispersion (equations (29) and (40)).

All these expressions involve significant approximations. However, they can give results that agree quite well with more reliable methods:



Accurate methods of emittance computation

The formulae we have derived so far are useful for developing a "feel" for how the vertical emittance depends on magnet alignment errors, and for making rough estimates of the sensitivity to particular types of error.

For detailed studies, including modelling and simulations, we need more accurate formulae for computing the vertical emittance in a storage ring with a given set of alignment errors. The calculations involved then become quite complex, and need to be solved using a computer.

Perhaps the most commonly used methods for computing the equilibrium emittances in complex lattices (including lattices with errors) are:

- Radiation integrals generalised to the normal modes.
- Chao's method: A. Chao, "Evaluation of beam distribution parameters in an electron storage ring," J. Appl. Phys. 50, 595-598 (1979);
- The 'envelope' method: K. Ohmi, K. Hirata, K. Oide, "From the beam-envelope matrix to the synchrotron radiation integrals," Phys. Rev. E 49, 751-765 (1994).

We shall discuss each of	these briefly,	in turn.	
Design of Electron Storage Rings	30	Part 5: Coupling and Alignmen	ıt

Accurate emittance computation I: Normal mode analysis

Let us assume that we have a lattice code that will compute the *symplectic* single-turn transfer matrix at any point in a given lattice.

In general, the transfer matrix will have non-zero off-block-diagonal terms, that represent coupling between the horizontal, vertical, and longitudinal motion:



The formula we derived for the natural emittance assumed no betatron coupling, and that the coupling between the horizontal and longitudinal motion was relatively weak.

However, we can generalise the formula to the case that betatron coupling is present. We still need to assume that the longitudinal motion is weakly coupled to each of the transverse degrees of freedom (i.e. the horizontal and vertical motion). In that case, we can consider separately the 4 × 4 matrix M_{\perp} describing the transverse motion:

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} M_{\perp} & \bullet \\ \bullet & M_{\parallel} \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (42)

 M_{\parallel} is a 2 \times 2 matrix describing the longitudinal motion, and we assume we can neglect the terms represented by the bullets (•).

Design of Electron Storage Rings	32	Part 5: Coupling and Alignment
----------------------------------	----	--------------------------------

Accurate emittance computation I: Normal mode analysis

Now we look for a transformation, represented by a 4×4 matrix V, that puts M_{\perp} into block-diagonal form, i.e. that "decouples" the transverse motion:

$$\tilde{M}_{\perp} = V M_{\perp} V^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} M_{\rm I} & 0\\ 0 & M_{\rm II} \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (43)

 $M_{\rm I}$ and $M_{\rm II}$ are 2 \times 2 matrices describing betatron motion in a coordinate system in which the motion appears uncoupled.

There are various recipes for constructing the decoupling transformation V (which is not unique): see for example, Sagan and Rubin, PRST-AB 2, 074001 (1999).

Having obtained the matrices describing the uncoupled motion, we can derive the Twiss parameters for the normal mode motion in the usual way. For example, we can write:

$$M_{\rm II} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \mu_{\rm II} + \alpha_{\rm II} \sin \mu_{\rm II} & \beta_{\rm II} \sin \mu_{\rm II} \\ -\gamma_{\rm II} \sin \mu_{\rm II} & \cos \mu_{\rm II} - \alpha_{\rm II} \sin \mu_{\rm II} \end{pmatrix},$$
(44)

and similarly for mode I.

We can also obtain the normal mode dispersion functions, by applying the transformation V to a vector constructed from the dispersion functions in the original Cartesian coordinates.

Then, we can construct the curly-H function for each mode; for example:

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{II}} = \gamma_{\mathrm{II}} \eta_{\mathrm{II}}^2 + 2\alpha_{\mathrm{II}} \eta_{\mathrm{II}} \eta_{p,\mathrm{II}} + \beta_{\mathrm{II}} \eta_{p,\mathrm{II}}^2.$$
(45)

Design of Electron	Storage Rings	34
B congin on Electron		• •

Accurate emittance computation I: Normal mode analysis

Finally, we can write for the mode II emittance:

$$\varepsilon_{\rm II} = C_q \gamma^2 \frac{I_{5,\rm II}}{I_2 - I_{4,\rm II}},\tag{46}$$

and similarly for mode I.

For many storage rings, equation (46) works well, and gives an accurate result. However, if there is strong coupling between the transverse and the longitudinal motion (which can happen, for example, for large values of the synchrotron tune), then the approximations needed to derive equation (46) start to break down.

Part 5: Coupling and Alignment

Chao's method[‡] for computing the emittances provides a formula that is conveniently expressed (though not always easy to apply). It is again based on the single-turn transfer matrix, but it is more accurate that the "decoupling" method, since it uses the full 6×6 transfer matrix, and does not assume weak coupling between the longitudinal and transverse motion.

We do not attempt to explain the physics behind the formula, but simply quote the result:

$$\varepsilon_k = C_L \frac{\gamma^5}{c\alpha_k} \oint \frac{|E_{k\,5}(s)|^2}{|\rho(s)|^3} \, ds,\tag{47}$$

where k =I, II, III indexes the degrees of freedom; the eigenvalues of the single-turn matrix *including radiation* damping are $e^{-\alpha_k \pm 2\pi i\nu_k}$, $E_{k\,5}$ is the fifth component of the k^{th} eigenvector of the symplectic single-turn matrix, and:

$$C_L = \frac{55}{48\sqrt{3}} \frac{r_e \hbar}{m_e}.\tag{48}$$

[‡]A. Chao, J. Appl. Phys., Vol. 50, No. 2, 595–598 (1979)

Design of Electron Storage Ring	s 36	Part 5:	Coupling a	nd Alignment

Accurate emittance computation III: The envelope method

Finally, we mention the envelope method. Like the Chao method, it gives accurate results for the emittances even if there is strong coupling between all three degrees of freedom.

The envelope method is based on finding the equilibrium beam distribution described by the "sigma matrix":

$$\Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \langle x^2 \rangle & \langle xp_x \rangle & \langle xy \rangle & \langle xp_y \rangle & \langle xz \rangle & \langle x\delta \rangle \\ \langle p_x x \rangle & \langle p_x^2 \rangle & \langle p_x y \rangle & \langle p_x p_y \rangle & \langle p_x z \rangle & \langle p_x \delta \rangle \\ \langle yx \rangle & \langle yp_x \rangle & \langle y^2 \rangle & \langle yp_y \rangle & \langle yz \rangle & \langle y\delta \rangle \\ \langle p_y x \rangle & \langle p_y p_x \rangle & \langle p_y y \rangle & \langle p_y^2 \rangle & \langle p_y z \rangle & \langle p_y \delta \rangle \\ \langle zx \rangle & \langle zp_x \rangle & \langle zy \rangle & \langle zp_y \rangle & \langle z^2 \rangle & \langle z\delta \rangle \\ \langle \delta x \rangle & \langle \delta p_x \rangle & \langle \delta y \rangle & \langle \delta p_y \rangle & \langle \delta z \rangle & \langle \delta^2 \rangle \end{pmatrix}$$
(49)

This is a symmetric matrix, constructed from the second-order moments of all possible combinations of the dynamical variables. (We assume that the first order moments are all zero.) Under a single turn around an accelerator, Σ transforms as:

$$\Sigma \mapsto M \cdot \Sigma \cdot M^{\mathsf{T}} + D, \tag{50}$$

where M is the single-turn transfer matrix (including radiation damping) and D is a constant matrix representing the effects of quantum excitation.

From knowledge of the properties of synchrotron radiation, we can compute the matrices M and D for a given lattice design.

The *equilibrium* distribution Σ_{eq} has the property:

$$\Sigma_{eq} = M \cdot \Sigma_{eq} \cdot M^{\mathsf{T}} + D.$$
(51)

For given M and D, we can solve equation (51) to find Σ_{eq} ...

Design of Electron Storage Rings	38	Part 5: Coupling and Alignment
Design of Electron Storage Rings	38	Part 5: Coupling and Alignment

Accurate emittance computation III: The envelope method

...and then from Σ_{eq} we can find the *invariant* emittances i.e. the conserved quantities under symplectic transport.

For any beam distribution $\boldsymbol{\Sigma},$ the invariant emittances $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_k$ are given by:

$$\varepsilon_k = \text{eigenvalues of } \Sigma \cdot S,$$
 (52)

where S is the antisymmetric block-diagonal "unit" matrix:

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} S_2 & \\ & S_2 \\ & & S_2 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad S_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$
(53)

For details of the envelope method, see Appendix C.

In practice, tuning a storage ring to achieve a vertical emittance of no more than a few picometres is a considerable challenge.

This cannot be done just by survey alignment of the magnets: beam-based methods are also required. However, precise alignment of the magnets is always the first step.

A variety of beam-based methods for tuning storage rings have been developed over the years.

A typical procedure might look as follows...

Design of Electron Storage Rings	40	Part 5: Coupling and Alignment

Ultra-low emittance tuning

Step 1: Align the magnets by a survey of the ring.

Typically, quadrupoles need to be aligned to better than a few tens of microns, and sextupoles to better than a couple of hundred microns.



Step 2: Determine the positions of the BPMs relative to the quadrupoles.

This is known as "beam-based alignment", (BBA), and can be achieved by steering the beam to a position in each quadrupole, where changing the quadrupole strength has no effect on the orbit.



Ultra-low emittance tuning

Step 3: Correct the orbit (using steering magnets) so that it is as close as possible to the centres of the quadrupoles.

Step 4: Correct the vertical dispersion (using steering magnets and/or skew quadrupoles, and measuring at the BPMs) as close to zero as possible.

Step 5: Correct the coupling, by adjusting skew quadrupoles so that an orbit "kick" in one place (from any orbit corrector) has no effect on the orbit in the other plane.

Usually, these last three steps need to be iterated several (or even many) times.

Results from the tuning procedure described above can be limited by errors on the BPMs, which can affect dispersion and coupling measurements.

A useful technique for overcoming such limitations is to apply Orbit Response Matrix (ORM) analysis. This can be used to determine a wide range of magnet and diagnostics parameters, including coupling errors and BPM tilts.



Summary

We have:

- derived approximate formulae for estimating the sensitivity of the vertical emittance to a range of magnet alignment errors;
- described briefly some methods for accurate emittance computation in storage rings with specified coupling and alignment errors;
- outlined some of the practical techniques used for low-emittance tuning.

Our goal is to find the equations of motion for a particle in a coupled storage ring, and by solving these equations, to show equations (18) and (19).

We will use Hamiltonian mechanics. In this formalism, the equations of motion for the action-angle variables (with path length s as the independent variable) are derived from the Hamiltonian:

$$H = H(\phi_x, J_x, \phi_y, J_y; s), \tag{54}$$

using Hamilton's equations:

$$\frac{dJ_x}{ds} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial \phi_x}, \qquad \frac{dJ_y}{ds} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial \phi_y},\tag{55}$$

$$\frac{d\phi_x}{ds} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial J_x}, \qquad \frac{d\phi_y}{ds} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial J_y}.$$
(56)

For a particle moving along a linear, uncoupled beamline, the Hamiltonian is:

$$H = \frac{J_x}{\beta_x} + \frac{J_y}{\beta_y}.$$
(57)

Design of Electron Storage Rings

46

Part 5: Coupling and Alignment

Appendix A: Equations of motion in a coupled storage ring

The first step is to derive an appropriate form for the Hamiltonian in a storage ring with skew quadrupole perturbations.

In Cartesian variables, the equations of motion in a skew quadrupole can be written:

 $k_s = \frac{1}{B\rho} \frac{\partial B_x}{\partial x}.$

$$\frac{dp_x}{ds} = k_s y, \qquad \frac{dp_y}{ds} = k_s x, \qquad (58)$$
$$\frac{dx}{ds} = p_x, \qquad \frac{dy}{ds} = p_y, \qquad (59)$$



where:

These equations can be derived from the Hamiltonian:

$$H = \frac{1}{2}p_x^2 + \frac{1}{2}p_y^2 - k_s xy.$$
 (61)

(60)

We are interested in the case where there are skew quadrupoles distributed around a storage ring.

The "focusing" effect of a skew quadrupole is represented by a term in the Hamiltonian:

$$k_s xy = 2k_s \sqrt{\beta_x \beta_y} \sqrt{J_x J_y} \cos \phi_x \cos \phi_y.$$
(62)

This implies that the Hamiltonian for a beam line with distributed skew quadrupoles can be written:

$$H = \frac{J_x}{\beta_x} + \frac{J_y}{\beta_y} - 2k_s(s)\sqrt{\beta_x\beta_y}\sqrt{J_xJ_y}\cos\phi_x\cos\phi_y.$$
 (63)

The beta functions and the skew quadrupole strength are functions of the position s. This makes it difficult to solve the equations of motion exactly.

Therefore, we simplify the problem by "averaging" the Hamiltonian:

$$H = \omega_x J_x + \omega_y J_y - 2\kappa \sqrt{J_x J_y} \cos \phi_x \cos \phi_y.$$
(64)

Here, ω_x , ω_y and κ are constants.

Design of Electron Storage Rings

48

Part 5: Coupling and Alignment

Appendix A: Equations of motion in a coupled storage ring

 ω_x and ω_y are the betatron frequencies, given by:

$$\omega_{x,y} = \frac{1}{C} \int_0^C \frac{ds}{\beta_{x,y}}.$$
(65)

For reasons that will become clear shortly, we re-write the coupling term, to put the Hamiltonian in the form:

$$H = \omega_x J_x + \omega_y J_y - \kappa_- \sqrt{J_x J_y} \cos(\phi_x - \phi_y) - \kappa_+ \sqrt{J_x J_y} \cos(\phi_x + \phi_y).$$
(66)

The constants κ_{\pm} represent the skew quadrupole strength averaged around the ring. However, we need to take into account that the kick from a skew quadrupole depends on the betatron phase. Thus, we write:

$$\kappa_{\pm}e^{i\chi} = \frac{1}{C} \int_0^C e^{i(\mu_x \pm \mu_y)} k_s \sqrt{\beta_x \beta_y} \, ds, \tag{67}$$

where μ_x and μ_y are the betatron phase advances from the start of the ring.

Now suppose that $\kappa_- \gg \kappa_+$. (This can occur, for example, if $\omega_x \approx \omega_y$, in which case all the skew quadrupole perturbations will add together in phase.) Then, we can simplify things further by dropping the term in κ_+ from the Hamiltonian:

$$H = \omega_x J_x + \omega_y J_y - \kappa_- \sqrt{J_x J_y} \cos(\phi_x - \phi_y).$$
(68)

We can now write down the equations of motion:

$$\frac{dJ_x}{ds} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial \phi_x} = \kappa_- \sqrt{J_x J_y} \sin(\phi_x - \phi_y), \qquad (69)$$

$$\frac{dJ_y}{ds} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial \phi_y} = -\kappa_- \sqrt{J_x J_y} \sin(\phi_x - \phi_y), \qquad (70)$$

$$\frac{d\phi_x}{ds} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial J_x} = \omega_x + \frac{\kappa_-}{2} \sqrt{\frac{J_x}{J_y}} \cos(\phi_x - \phi_y), \qquad (71)$$

$$\frac{d\phi_y}{ds} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial J_y} = \omega_y + \frac{\kappa_-}{2} \sqrt{\frac{J_y}{J_x}} \cos(\phi_x - \phi_y).$$
(72)

Design of Electron Storage Rings

50

Part 5: Coupling and Alignment

Appendix A: Equations of motion in a coupled storage ring

Even after all the simplifications we have made, the equations of motion are still rather difficult to solve. Fortunately, however, we do not require the general solution. In fact, we are only interested in the properties of some special cases.

First of all, we note that the sum of the actions is constant:

$$\frac{dJ_x}{ds} + \frac{dJ_y}{ds} = 0 \qquad \therefore \quad J_x + J_y = \text{constant.}$$
(73)

This is true in all cases.

Going further, we notice that if $\phi_x = \phi_y$, then the rate of change of each action falls to zero, i.e.:

if
$$\phi_x = \phi_y$$
 then $\frac{dJ_x}{ds} = \frac{dJ_y}{ds} = 0.$ (74)

This implies that if we can find a solution to the equations of motion with $\phi_x = \phi_y$ for all *s*, then the actions will remain constant.

From the equations of motion, we find that if:

$$\phi_x = \phi_y \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{d\phi_x}{ds} = \frac{d\phi_y}{ds},$$
(75)

then:

$$\frac{J_y}{J_x} = \frac{\sqrt{1 + \kappa_{-}^2 / \Delta \omega^2} - 1}{\sqrt{1 + \kappa_{-}^2 / \Delta \omega^2} + 1},$$
(76)

where $\Delta \omega = \omega_x - \omega_y$.

If we further use $J_x + J_y = J_0$, where J_0 is a constant, then we have the *fixed point solution*:

$$J_x = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \kappa_-^2 / \Delta \omega^2}} \right) J_0,$$
 (77)

$$J_y = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \kappa_-^2 / \Delta \omega^2}} \right) J_0.$$
 (78)

Design of Electron Storage Rings

52

Part 5: Coupling and Alignment

Appendix A: Equations of motion in a coupled storage ring

Note the behaviour of the fixed-point actions as we vary the "coupling strength" κ_{-} and the betatron tunes (betatron frequencies).

The fixed-point actions are well-separated for $\kappa_{-} \ll \Delta \omega$, but approach each other for $\kappa_{-} \gg \Delta \omega$.

The condition at which the tunes are equal (or differ by an exact integer) is known as the *difference coupling resonance*.



Recall that the emittance may be defined as the betatron action averaged over all particles in the beam:

$$\varepsilon_x = \langle J_x \rangle, \quad \text{and} \quad \varepsilon_y = \langle J_y \rangle.$$
 (79)

Now, synchrotron radiation will damp the beam towards an equilibrium distribution. In this equilibrium, we expect the betatron actions of the particles to change only slowly, i.e. on the timescale of the radiation damping, whis is much longer than the timescale of the betatron motion.

In that case, the actions of most particles must be in the correct ratio for a fixed-point solution to the equations of motion. Then, if we assume that $\varepsilon_x + \varepsilon_y = \varepsilon_0$, where ε_0 is the natural emittance of the storage ring, we must have for the equilibrium emittances:

$$\varepsilon_x = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \kappa_-^2 / \Delta \omega^2}} \right) \varepsilon_0,$$
 (80)

$$\varepsilon_y = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \kappa_-^2 / \Delta \omega^2}} \right) \varepsilon_0.$$
 (81)

Hence, we have shown equations (18) and (19).

Appendix B: Tune shifts from skew quadrupole perturbations

To estimate the effect of a skew quadrupole perturbation on the betatron tunes, we use the Hamiltonian (68). If we consider a particle close to the fixed point solution, we can assume that $\phi_x = \phi_y$, so that the Hamiltonian becomes:

$$H = \omega_x J_x + \omega_y J_y - \kappa_- \sqrt{J_x J_y}.$$
(82)

The normal modes describe motion that is periodic with a single well-defined frequency. In the absence of coupling, the transverse normal modes correspond to motion in just the horizontal or vertical plane. When coupling is present, the normal modes involve combination of horizontal and vertical motion.

Let us write the Hamiltonian (82) in the form:

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{J_x} & \sqrt{J_y} \end{pmatrix} \cdot A \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{J_x} \\ \sqrt{J_y} \end{pmatrix}, \text{ where } A = \begin{pmatrix} \omega_x & -\frac{1}{2}\kappa_- \\ -\frac{1}{2}\kappa_- & \omega_y \end{pmatrix}.$$
(83)

The normal modes can be constructed from the eigenvectors of the matrix A, and the frequency of each mode is given by the corresponding eigenvalue.

From the eigenvalues of A, we find that the normal mode frequencies are:

$$\omega_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\omega_x + \omega_y \pm \sqrt{\kappa_-^2 + \Delta \omega^2} \right).$$
(84)

The envelope method for computing the beam emittances is based on finding the equilibrium distribution described by the "Sigma matrix" (the matrix of second order moments of the dynamical variables):

$$\Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \langle x^2 \rangle & \langle xp_x \rangle & \langle xy \rangle & \langle xp_y \rangle & \langle xz \rangle & \langle x\delta \rangle \\ \langle p_x x \rangle & \langle p_x^2 \rangle & \langle p_x y \rangle & \langle p_x p_y \rangle & \langle p_x z \rangle & \langle p_x \delta \rangle \\ \langle yx \rangle & \langle yp_x \rangle & \langle y^2 \rangle & \langle yp_y \rangle & \langle yz \rangle & \langle y\delta \rangle \\ \langle p_y x \rangle & \langle p_y p_x \rangle & \langle p_y y \rangle & \langle p_y^2 \rangle & \langle p_y z \rangle & \langle p_y \delta \rangle \\ \langle zx \rangle & \langle zp_x \rangle & \langle zy \rangle & \langle zp_y \rangle & \langle z^2 \rangle & \langle z\delta \rangle \\ \langle \delta x \rangle & \langle \delta p_x \rangle & \langle \delta y \rangle & \langle \delta p_y \rangle & \langle \delta z \rangle & \langle \delta^2 \rangle \end{pmatrix}.$$
(85)

This can be conveniently written as:

$$\Sigma_{ij} = \langle x_i x_j \rangle, \tag{86}$$

where Σ_{ij} is the (i, j) component of the Sigma matrix, and the set x_i (for i = 1...6) are the dynamical variables. The brackets $\langle \cdot \rangle$ indicate an average over all particles in the bunch.

In the absence of coupling, the sigma matrix will be block diagonal. We are interested in the more general case, where coupling is present.

Appendix C: The envelope method for computing emittances

The emittances and the lattice functions can be calculated from the sigma matrix, and vice-versa.

Consider the (simpler) case of motion in one degree of freedom. The sigma matrix in this case is:

$$\Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \langle x^2 \rangle & \langle xp_x \rangle \\ \langle p_x x \rangle & \langle p_x^2 \rangle \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \beta_x & -\alpha_x \\ -\alpha_x & \gamma_x \end{pmatrix} \varepsilon_x.$$
(87)

Note that given a Sigma matrix, we can compute the emittance as follows. First, define the matrix S:

 $\S = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 1\\ -1 & 0 \end{array}\right). \tag{88}$

Then, the eigenvalues of $\Sigma \cdot S$ are $\pm i \varepsilon_x$. (The proof of this is left as an exercise.)

Now, we can show that (under certain assumptions) the emittance is conserved as a bunch is transported along a beam line.

The linear transformation in phase space coordinates of a particle in the bunch between two points in the beam line can be represented by a matrix M:

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} x\\ p_x \end{array}\right) \mapsto M \cdot \left(\begin{array}{c} x\\ p_x \end{array}\right). \tag{89}$$

If (for the moment) we neglect radiation and certain other effects, and consider only the Lorentz force on particles from the external electromagnetic fields, then the transport is *symplectic*.

Physically, this means that the phase-space volume of the bunch is conserved as the bunch moves along the beam line.

Mathematically, it means that M is a symplectic matrix, i.e. M satisfies:

$$M^{\mathsf{T}} \cdot S \cdot M = S. \tag{90}$$

Part 5: Coupling and Alignment

Design of Electron Storage Rings

Appendix C: The envelope method for computing emittances

58

Now consider how the Sigma matrix transforms. Since it is written as the product of the phase-space coordinates averaged over the bunch, we have:

$$\begin{pmatrix} x \\ p_x \end{pmatrix} \mapsto M \cdot \begin{pmatrix} x \\ p_x \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \therefore \quad \Sigma \mapsto M \cdot \Sigma \cdot M^{\mathsf{T}}.$$
(91)

Since S is a constant matrix, it immediately follows that:

$$\Sigma \mapsto M \cdot \Sigma \cdot M^{\mathsf{T}} \cdot S. \tag{92}$$

Then, using the fact that M is symplectic, we have:

$$\Sigma \cdot S \mapsto M \cdot \Sigma \cdot S \cdot M^{-1}.$$
(93)

This is a similarity transformation of $\Sigma \cdot S$: the eigenvalues of any matrix are conserved under a similarity transformation. Therefore, since the eigenvalues of $\Sigma \cdot S$ give the emittance of the bunch, it follows that the emittances are conserved under linear, symplectic transport.

The above discussion immediately generalises to three degrees of freedom. We define the matrix S in three degrees of freedom by:

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (94)

The six eigenvalues of $\Sigma \cdot S$ are then:

$$\pm i\varepsilon_x, \quad \pm i\varepsilon_y, \quad \pm i\varepsilon_z.$$
 (95)

These quantities are all conserved under linear, symplectic transport.

Even if, as is generally the case, the Sigma matrix is not block-diagonal (i.e. if there is coupling present), then we can still find three invariant emittances using this method, without any modification.

60

Part 5: Coupling and Alignment

Appendix C: The envelope method for computing emittances

If M is a matrix that represents the linear single-turn transformation at some point in a storage ring, then an invariant or "matched" distribution is one that satisfies:

$$\Sigma \mapsto M \cdot \Sigma \cdot M^{\mathsf{T}} = \Sigma. \tag{96}$$

(In general, all the particles in the bunch change position in phase space after one turn around the ring: but for a matched distribution, the second order moments remain the same.)

This is not sufficient to determine the beam emittances – though this condition will determine the lattice functions (which can be found from the *eigenvectors* of $\Sigma \cdot S$).

In other words, the matched distribution condition determines the *shape* of the bunch, but not the *size* of the bunch. This makes sense: after all, in a proton storage ring, we can have a matched bunch of any emittance.

However, in an electron storage ring, we know that radiation effects will damp the emittances to some equilibrium values.

We shall now show how to apply the concept of a matched distribution, when radiation effects are included, to find the equilibrium emittances in an electron storage ring.

In an electron storage ring, we must make two modifications to the single-turn transformation to account for radiation effects:

- 1. The matrix ${\cal M}$ will no longer be symplectic: this accounts for radiation damping.
- 2. As well as first-order terms in the transformation (represented by the matrix M), there will be zeroth-order terms: these will turn out to correspond to the quantum excitation.

The condition for a matched distribution should then be written:

$$\Sigma = M \cdot \Sigma \cdot M^{\mathsf{T}} + D, \tag{97}$$

where M and D are constant, non-symplectic matrices that represent the first-order and zeroth-order terms in the single-turn transformation, respectively.

This equation is sufficient to determine the Sigma matrix uniquely - in other words, using just this equation (with known M and D) we can find the bunch emittances and the matched lattice functions.

Design of Electron Storage Rings

62

Part 5: Coupling and Alignment

Appendix C: The envelope method for computing emittances

The envelope method for finding the equilibrium emittances in a storage ring consists of three steps:

1. Find the first-order terms ${\cal M}$ and zeroth-order terms ${\cal D}$ in the single-turn transformation:

$$\Sigma \mapsto M \cdot \Sigma \cdot M^{\mathsf{T}} + D. \tag{98}$$

2. Use the matching condition:

$$\Sigma = M \cdot \Sigma \cdot M^{\mathsf{T}} + D, \tag{99}$$

to determine the Sigma matrix.

3. Find the equilibrium emittances from the eigenvalues of $\Sigma \cdot S$.

Note: strictly speaking, since M is not symplectic, the emittances are not invariant as the bunch moves around the ring. Therefore, we may expect to find a different emittance at each point around the ring. However, if radiation effects are fairly small, then the variations in the emittances will also be small.

As an illustration of the transformation matrices M and D, we shall consider a thin "slice" of a dipole.

The thin slice of dipole is an important case:

- in most storage rings, radiation effects are only significant in dipoles;
- "complete" dipoles can be constructed by concatenating the maps for a number of slices.

Once we have a map for a thin slice of dipole, we simply need to concatenate the maps for all the elements in the ring, to construct the map for a complete turn starting at any point.

Design of Electron Storage Rings 64 Part 5: Coupling and Alignment

Appendix C: The envelope method for computing emittances

Recall that the transformation for the phase space variables in the emission of radiation carrying momentum dp is:

$$\begin{array}{ll} x \mapsto x & p_x \mapsto \left(1 - \frac{dp}{P_0}\right) p_x \\ y \mapsto y & p_y \mapsto \left(1 - \frac{dp}{P_0}\right) p_y \\ z \mapsto z & \delta \mapsto \delta - \frac{dp}{P_0} \end{array}$$
(100)

where P_0 is the reference momentum. In general, dp is a function of the coordinates.

To find the transformation matrices M and D, we find an explicit expression for dp/P_0 , and then write down the above transformations to first order.

For an ultra-relativistic particle, the momentum lost through radiation can be expressed in terms of the synchrotron radiation power P_{γ} (energy loss per unit time):

$$\frac{dp}{P_0} \approx \frac{P_{\gamma}}{E_0} dt \approx \frac{P_{\gamma}}{E_0} \left(1 + \frac{x}{\rho} \right) \frac{ds}{c}, \tag{101}$$

where ρ is the radius of curvature of the reference trajectory.

Recall that the radiation power from a particle of charge e and energy E in a magnetic field B is given by:

$$P_{\gamma} = \frac{C_{\gamma}}{2\pi} c^3 e^2 B^2 E^2.$$
 (102)

In general, the dipole may have a quadrupole gradient, so the field is:

$$B = B_0 + B_1 x. (103)$$

Also, the particle may have some energy deviation, so the total energy is:

$$E = E_0(1+\delta). \tag{104}$$

Part 5: Coupling and Alignment

Substituting these expressions, we find (after some manipulation)...

Design of Electron Storage Rings

Appendix C: The envelope method for computing emittances

66

$$P_{\gamma} = c \frac{C_{\gamma}}{2\pi} \left(\frac{1}{\rho^2} + 2k_1 \frac{x}{\rho} \right) (1+\delta)^2 E_0^4, \tag{105}$$

where k_1 is the normalised quadrupole gradient in the dipole:

$$k_1 = \frac{e}{P_0} B_1.$$
 (106)

Hence, the normalised momentum loss may be written:

$$\frac{dp}{P_0} \approx \frac{C_{\gamma}}{2\pi} \left(\frac{1}{\rho^2} + 2k_1 \frac{x}{\rho} \right) \left(1 + \frac{x}{\rho} \right) (1+\delta)^2 E_0^3 \, ds. \tag{107}$$

Expanding to first order in the phase space variables, we can write:

$$\frac{dp}{P_0} \approx \frac{C_{\gamma}}{2\pi} \frac{E_0^3}{\rho^2} ds + \frac{C_{\gamma}}{2\pi} \left(\frac{1}{\rho^2} + 2k_1 \frac{x}{\rho} \right) \frac{E_0^3}{\rho} x \, ds + 2 \frac{C_{\gamma}}{2\pi} \frac{E_0^3}{\rho^2} \delta \, ds + O(x^2) + O(\delta^2). \tag{108}$$

Given the expression (108) for dp/P_0 , the transformations (100) become (to first order in the dynamical variables):

$$x \mapsto x \qquad p_x \mapsto \left(1 - \frac{C_{\gamma}}{2\pi} \frac{E_0^3}{\rho^2} ds\right) p_x$$
$$y \mapsto y \qquad p_y \mapsto \left(1 - \frac{C_{\gamma}}{2\pi} \frac{E_0^3}{\rho^2} ds\right) p_y \qquad (109)$$
$$z \mapsto z \qquad \delta \mapsto \left(1 - 2\frac{C_{\gamma}}{2\pi} \frac{E_0^3}{\rho^2} ds\right) \delta - \frac{C_{\gamma}}{2\pi} \left(\frac{1}{\rho^2} + 2k_1 \frac{x}{\rho}\right) \frac{E_0^3}{\rho} x \, ds - \frac{C_{\gamma}}{2\pi} \frac{E_0^3}{\rho^2} ds.$$

The first-order terms give us components in M.

There is already a zeroth-order term that will contribute to (the (6,6) component of) D. Since the (6,6) component of D represents the quantity $\langle \Delta \delta^2 \rangle$, the contribution to this component from the zeroth-order term in (109) will be second-order in ds.

We still have to take proper account of the quantum nature of the radiation. This will make an additional contribution to D.

Design of Electron Storage Rings 68 Part 5: Coupling and Alignment

Appendix C: The envelope method for computing emittances

The zeroth-order term in the map for the Sigma matrix is given by:

$$D_{66} = \left\langle \left(\frac{dp}{P_0}\right)^2 \right\rangle \approx \frac{\langle u^2 \rangle}{E_0^2},\tag{110}$$

where $\langle u^2 \rangle$ is the mean square of the photon energy.

Using the results:

$$\dot{N}\langle u \rangle = P_{\gamma}$$
 (111)

$$\dot{N}\langle u^2 \rangle = 2C_q \gamma^2 E_0 \frac{P_\gamma}{\rho}, \qquad (112)$$

we find that, to zeroth-order in the phase space variables:

$$\left\langle \left(\frac{dp}{P_0}\right)^2 \right\rangle \approx 2C_q \gamma^2 \frac{C_\gamma}{2\pi} \frac{E_0^3}{\rho^3} ds.$$
 (113)

Note that this term is first-order in ds, whereas the contribution to D that we found previously was second-order in ds. Hence, in the limit $ds \rightarrow 0$, the latter contribution dominates over the previous contribution.

Collecting the above results, and taking only dominant contributions in the limit $ds \rightarrow 0$, we find that the radiation in a thin slice of dipole has an effect on the Sigma matrix that can be represented by:

$$\Sigma \mapsto M \cdot \Sigma \cdot M^{\mathsf{T}} + D, \tag{114}$$

Appendix C: The envelope method for computing emittances

To construct the full single-turn transformation, we need to concatenate the maps for all the elements in the ring, including the radiation effects in the dipoles.

It is straightforward to do this numerically using a computer. However, some care is needed in handling the D matrices.

For example, given the Sigma matrix at a location s_0 , we find the Sigma matrix at a location $s_1 = s_0 + ds$ from:

$$\Sigma(s_1) = M(s_1; s_0) \cdot \Sigma(s_0) \cdot M^{\mathsf{T}}(s_1; s_0) + D(s_1; s_0).$$
(117)

Then the Sigma matrix at s_2 is given by:

$$\Sigma(s_2) = M(s_2; s_1) \cdot \Sigma(s_1) \cdot M^{\mathsf{T}}(s_2; s_1) + D(s_2; s_1) = M(s_2; s_0) \cdot \Sigma(s_0) \cdot M^{\mathsf{T}}(s_2; s_0) + M(s_2; s_1) \cdot D(s_1; s_0) \cdot M^{\mathsf{T}}(s_2; s_1) + D(s_2; s_1).$$
(118)

Hence:

$$M(s_2; s_0) = M(s_2; s_1) \cdot M(s_1; s_0)$$
(119)

$$D(s_2; s_0) = M(s_2; s_1) \cdot D(s_1; s_0) \cdot M^{\top}(s_2; s_1) + D(s_2; s_1).$$
(120)

Continuing the process, we find:

$$M(s_n; s_0) = M(s_n; s_{n-1}) \cdot M(s_{n-1}; s_{n-2}) \cdot \ldots \cdot M(s_1; s_0)$$
(121)

$$D(s_n; s_0) = \sum_{r=1}^n M(s_2; s_r) \cdot D(s_r; s_{r-1}) \cdot M^{\mathsf{T}}(s_n; s_r)$$
(122)

Using a computer, it is not actually too difficult to concatentate the maps.

In a dipole, we have to remember to "interleave" the radiation maps with the usual symplectic transport map for a thin slice of dipole.

Design of Electron Storage Rings

Appendix C: The envelope method for computing emittances

72

The next step in finding the equilibrium emittances is to solve the matching condition:

$$\Sigma = M \cdot \Sigma \cdot M^{\mathsf{T}} + D, \tag{123}$$

to find the Sigma matrix for the equilibrium distribution.

To solve equation (123) for the Sigma matrix (for given matrices M and D), we make use of the eigenvectors U of M, and the diagonal matrix Λ constructed from the eigenvalues of M:

$$M \cdot U = \Lambda \cdot U. \tag{124}$$

Defining $\tilde{\Sigma}$ and \tilde{D} by:

$$\Sigma = U \cdot \tilde{\Sigma} \cdot U^{\mathsf{T}}, \qquad (125)$$

$$D = U \cdot \tilde{D} \cdot U^{\mathsf{T}}, \tag{126}$$

the solution for the Sigma matrix can be written:

$$\tilde{\Sigma}_{ij} = \frac{\tilde{D}_{ij}}{1 - \Lambda_i \Lambda_j}.$$
(127)

The above formulae enable us to find the matched (equilibrium) distribution Σ ; the eigenvalues of $\Sigma \cdot S$ are $\pm i\varepsilon_k$, where ε_k are the emittances.

Part 5: Coupling and Alignment

Finally, some comments on the envelope method.

We see that vertical emittance can be generated by:

- coupling between the vertical and longitudinal planes in regions where radiation is emitted, i.e. by vertical dispersion *in dipoles*;
- coupling between the vertical and horizontal planes in regions where radiation is emitted, i.e. by betatron coupling *in dipoles*.

Here, we need to be very careful in the use of the word "coupling". In this context, coupling means the presence of non-zero off-block-diagonal components in the single-turn matrix, M.



Full characterisation of the coupling requires complete specification of all these off-block-diagonal components.

It is quite possible to have coupling in a storage ring, and not generate any vertical emittance.

Design of Electron Storage Rings

74

Part 5: Coupling and Alignment

Appendix C: The envelope method for computing emittances

Numerical computational procedures (such as the envelope method) for finding the equilibrium beam distribution in a storage ring are important because they provide a means to calculate the equilibrium emittances in complex, coupled lattices.

Note that a variety of non-symplectic effects (including, for example, intrabeam scattering) can be included in the computation: not just synchrotron radiation.

Often, coupling comes from magnet alignment errors, which are not completely known in an operating machine. However, at the design stage, it is important to characterise the sensitivity of a lattice to magnet alignment errors, particularly regarding the vertical emittance.

Having a means to compute the beam emittances in a storage ring with coupling errors present allows us to simulate the effects of various types and sizes of alignment error and (we hope) to optimise the lattice design to minimise the sensitivity to likely errors.