Last Time

Last Time

We considered properties that any "sensible" notion of distance must satisfy, and used them to define *metric spaces*: sets where we have a method of measuring distance.

Last Time

We considered properties that any "sensible" notion of distance must satisfy, and used them to define *metric spaces*: sets where we have a method of measuring distance.

Let X be a set, and $d : X \times X \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function. We say that (X, d) is a *metric space* (or, alternatively, that *d is a metric on X*) if for all $x, y, z \in X$:

1. $d(x, x) = 0$, and $d(x, y) > 0$ if $x \neq y$.
2. $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$.
3. $d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(z, y)$.

Last Time

We considered properties that any "sensible" notion of distance must satisfy, and used them to define *metric spaces*: sets where we have a method of measuring distance.

Let X be a set, and $d : X \times X \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function. We say that (X, d) is a *metric space* (or, alternatively, that *d is a metric on X*) if for all $x, y, z \in X$:

1. $d(x, x) = 0$, and $d(x, y) > 0$ if $x \neq y$.
2. $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$.
3. $d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(z, y)$.

We saw examples of three different metrics on $\mathbb{R}^2$:

- The "standard" metric $d_2(x, y) = \sqrt{(x_1 - y_1)^2 + (x_2 - y_2)^2}$.



We saw examples of three different metrics on $\mathbb{R}^2$:

- The "standard" metric $d_2(x,y) = \sqrt{(x_1 - y_1)^2 + (x_2 - y_2)^2}$.

- The metric $d_1(x,y) = |x_1 - y_1| + |x_2 - y_2|$.



We saw examples of three different metrics on $\mathbb{R}^2$:

- The "standard" metric $d_2(x, y) = \sqrt{(x_1 - y_1)^2 + (x_2 - y_2)^2}$.
- The metric $d_1(x, y) = |x_1 - y_1| + |x_2 - y_2|$.
- The metric $d_\infty(x, y) = \max(|x_1 - y_1|, |x_2 - y_2|)$.



We saw examples of three different metrics on $\mathbb{R}^2$:

- The "standard" metric $d_2(x,y) = \sqrt{(x_1 - y_1)^2 + (x_2 - y_2)^2}$.
- The metric $d_1(x,y) = |x_1 - y_1| + |x_2 - y_2|$.
- The metric $d_\infty(x,y) = \max(|x_1 - y_1|, |x_2 - y_2|)$.



Example d): the discrete metric

Example d): the discrete metric

Let X be *any* set,

Example d): the discrete metric

Let X be *any* set, and put

$$d(x,y) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = y, \\ 1 & \text{if } x \neq y. \end{cases}$$

Thus any two distinct points are distance 1 apart.

Example d): the discrete metric

Let X be *any* set, and put

$$d(x,y) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = y, \\ 1 & \text{if } x \neq y. \end{cases}$$

Thus any two distinct points are distance 1 apart.

This is called the *discrete metric*, since each point of X is separated by a large distance from each other point: thus X looks like a collection of discrete points.

Example d): the discrete metric

Let X be *any* set, and put

$$d(x,y) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = y, \\ 1 & \text{if } x \neq y. \end{cases}$$

Thus any two distinct points are distance 1 apart.
This is called the *discrete metric*, since each point of X is separated by a large distance from each other point: thus X looks like a collection of discrete points.

We call a set X with the discrete metric a *discrete space*.

Example d): the discrete metric

Let X be *any* set, and put

$$d(x,y) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = y, \\ 1 & \text{if } x \neq y. \end{cases}$$

Thus any two distinct points are distance 1 apart.
This is called the *discrete metric*, since each point of X is separated by a large distance from each other point: thus X looks like a collection of discrete points.

We call a set X with the discrete metric a *discrete space*.

To show that this is a metric

To show that this is a metric

For

$$d(x,y) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = y, \\ 1 & \text{if } x \neq y. \end{cases}$$

We need to check the conditions for a metric:

To show that this is a metric

For

$$d(x,y) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = y, \\ 1 & \text{if } x \neq y. \end{cases}$$

We need to check the conditions for a metric: for all $x, y, z \in X$,

1. $d(x,x) = 0, \quad d(x,y) > 0$ if $x \neq y$.
2. $d(x,y) = d(y,x)$.
3. $d(x,y) \leq d(x,z) + d(z,y)$.

To show that this is a metric

For

$$d(x,y) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = y, \\ 1 & \text{if } x \neq y. \end{cases}$$

We need to check the conditions for a metric: for all $x, y, z \in X$,

1. $d(x,x) = 0, \quad d(x,y) > 0$ if $x \neq y$.
2. $d(x,y) = d(y,x)$.
3. $d(x,y) \leq d(x,z) + d(z,y)$.

To show that this is a metric

For

$$d(x,y) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = y, \\ 1 & \text{if } x \neq y. \end{cases}$$

We need to check the conditions for a metric: for all $x, y, z \in X$,

1. $d(x,x) = 0, \quad d(x,y) > 0$ if $x \neq y$.
2. $d(x,y) = d(y,x)$.
3. $d(x,y) \leq d(x,z) + d(z,y)$.

There's nothing special about the number 1 here: we could take any number $c > 0$ and define

$$d(x,y) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = y, \\ c & \text{if } x \neq y \end{cases}$$

to get an *equivalent* metric.

page 14 of notes

There's nothing special about the number 1 here: we could take any number $c > 0$ and define

$$d(x,y) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = y, \\ c & \text{if } x \neq y \end{cases}$$

to get an *equivalent* metric.

Example e): the subspace metric

Example e): the subspace metric

Suppose (X, d) is a metric space, and Y is a subset of X. Then (Y, d) is also a metric space.

Example e): the subspace metric

Suppose (X, d) is a metric space, and Y is a subset of X. Then (Y, d) is also a metric space.

WHY?

Example e): the subspace metric

Suppose (X, d) is a metric space, and Y is a subset of X. Then (Y, d) is also a metric space.

WHY?

The fact that (X, d) is a metric space means that conditions 1, 2, and 3 hold for *all* $x, y, z \in X$.

Example e): the subspace metric

Suppose (X, d) is a metric space, and Y is a subset of X. Then (Y, d) is also a metric space.

WHY?

The fact that (X, d) is a metric space means that conditions 1, 2, and 3 hold for *all* $x, y, z \in X$.

So the conditions also hold for all $x, y, z \in Y$, since these are just *some* of the $x, y, z \in X$.

Example e): the subspace metric

Suppose (X, d) is a metric space, and Y is a subset of X. Then (Y, d) is also a metric space.

WHY?

The fact that (X, d) is a metric space means that conditions 1, 2, and 3 hold for *all* $x, y, z \in X$.

So the conditions also hold for all $x, y, z \in Y$, since these are just *some* of the $x, y, z \in X$.

So, for example, the usual metric on $\mathbb{R}$ gives us subspace metrics on all the subsets of $\mathbb{R}$, such as

Example e): the subspace metric

Suppose (X, d) is a metric space, and Y is a subset of X. Then (Y, d) is also a metric space.

WHY?

The fact that (X, d) is a metric space means that conditions 1, 2, and 3 hold for *all* $x, y, z \in X$.

So the conditions also hold for all $x, y, z \in Y$, since these are just *some* of the $x, y, z \in X$.

So, for example, the usual metric on $\mathbb{R}$ gives us subspace metrics on all the subsets of $\mathbb{R}$, such as $\mathbb{Z}$,

Example e): the subspace metric

Suppose (X, d) is a metric space, and Y is a subset of X. Then (Y, d) is also a metric space.

WHY?

The fact that (X, d) is a metric space means that conditions 1, 2, and 3 hold for *all* $x, y, z \in X$.

So the conditions also hold for all $x, y, z \in Y$, since these are just *some* of the $x, y, z \in X$.

So, for example, the usual metric on $\mathbb{R}$ gives us subspace metrics on all the subsets of $\mathbb{R}$, such as $\mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbb{Q}$,

Example e): the subspace metric

Suppose (X, d) is a metric space, and Y is a subset of X. Then (Y, d) is also a metric space.

WHY?

The fact that (X, d) is a metric space means that conditions 1, 2, and 3 hold for *all* $x, y, z \in X$.

So the conditions also hold for all $x, y, z \in Y$, since these are just *some* of the $x, y, z \in X$.

So, for example, the usual metric on $\mathbb{R}$ gives us subspace metrics on all the subsets of $\mathbb{R}$, such as $\mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbb{Q}$, $[0, 1]$,

Example e): the subspace metric

Suppose (X, d) is a metric space, and Y is a subset of X. Then (Y, d) is also a metric space.

WHY?

The fact that (X, d) is a metric space means that conditions 1, 2, and 3 hold for *all* $x, y, z \in X$.

So the conditions also hold for all $x, y, z \in Y$, since these are just *some* of the $x, y, z \in X$.

So, for example, the usual metric on $\mathbb{R}$ gives us subspace metrics on all the subsets of $\mathbb{R}$, such as $\mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbb{Q}$, $[0, 1]$, and $[-32, 11.731]$.

Example e): the subspace metric

Suppose (X, d) is a metric space, and Y is a subset of X. Then (Y, d) is also a metric space.

WHY?

The fact that (X, d) is a metric space means that conditions 1, 2, and 3 hold for *all* $x, y, z \in X$.

So the conditions also hold for all $x, y, z \in Y$, since these are just *some* of the $x, y, z \in X$.

So, for example, the usual metric on $\mathbb{R}$ gives us subspace metrics on all the subsets of $\mathbb{R}$, such as $\mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbb{Q}$, $[0, 1]$, and $[-32, 11.731]$.
(All defined by the same formula, $d(x, y) = |x - y|$.)

Example e): the subspace metric

Suppose (X, d) is a metric space, and Y is a subset of X. Then (Y, d) is also a metric space.

WHY?

The fact that (X, d) is a metric space means that conditions 1, 2, and 3 hold for *all* $x, y, z \in X$.

So the conditions also hold for all $x, y, z \in Y$, since these are just *some* of the $x, y, z \in X$.

So, for example, the usual metric on $\mathbb{R}$ gives us subspace metrics on all the subsets of $\mathbb{R}$, such as $\mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbb{Q}$, $[0, 1]$, and $[-32, 11.731]$.
(All defined by the same formula, $d(x, y) = |x - y|$.)

Example f): Bounded metrics

Example f): Bounded metrics

We say a metric space (X, d) is *bounded* if there's some number K such that $d(x, y)$ is never bigger than K.

Example f): Bounded metrics

We say a metric space (X, d) is *bounded* if there's some number K such that $d(x, y)$ is never bigger than K.

Thus

- $\mathbb{R}$ (with the standard metric) isn't bounded (since $d(x, y)$ can be as big as we like).

Example f): Bounded metrics

We say a metric space (X, d) is *bounded* if there's some number K such that $d(x, y)$ is never bigger than K.

Thus

- $\mathbb{R}$ (with the standard metric) isn't bounded (since $d(x, y)$ can be as big as we like).

- $[-1, 1]$ (with the subspace metric) is bounded (since $d(x, y) \leq 2$ for all $x, y \in [-1, 1]$).

Example f): Bounded metrics

We say a metric space (X, d) is *bounded* if there's some number K such that $d(x, y)$ is never bigger than K.

Thus

- $\mathbb{R}$ (with the standard metric) isn't bounded (since $d(x, y)$ can be as big as we like).

- $[-1, 1]$ (with the subspace metric) is bounded (since $d(x, y) \leq 2$ for all $x, y \in [-1, 1]$).

page 15 of notes

Suppose (X, d) is any metric space, and define a new metric on X by

Suppose (X, d) is any metric space, and define a new metric on X by

$$e(x, y) = \text{min}(d(x, y),\ 1).$$

That is, to work out $e(x, y)$ we work out $d(x, y)$, then replace it by 1 if it's bigger than 1. (So e is a bounded metric: $e(x, y) \leq 1$ for all x, y.)

page 15 of notes

Suppose (X, d) is any metric space, and define a new metric on X by

$$e(x, y) = \text{min}(d(x, y),\ 1).$$

That is, to work out $e(x, y)$ we work out $d(x, y)$, then replace it by 1 if it's bigger than 1. (So e is a bounded metric: $e(x, y) \leq 1$ for all x, y.)

To show that this is a metric

To show that this is a metric

We assume that (X, d) is a metric space, so that conditions 1 to 3 hold:

To show that this is a metric

We assume that (X, d) is a metric space, so that conditions 1 to 3 hold:

1. $d(x, x) = 0, \quad d(x, y) > 0$ if $x \neq y$.
2. $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$.
3. $d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(z, y)$.

To show that this is a metric

We assume that (X, d) is a metric space, so that conditions 1 to 3 hold:

1. $d(x, x) = 0, \quad d(x, y) > 0$ if $x \neq y$.
2. $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$.
3. $d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(z, y)$.

Then have to show that these conditions also hold for $e(x, y) = \min(d(x, y), 1)$.

To show that this is a metric

We assume that (X, d) is a metric space, so that conditions 1 to 3 hold:

1. $d(x, x) = 0, \quad d(x, y) > 0$ if $x \neq y$.
2. $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$.
3. $d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(z, y)$.

Then have to show that these conditions also hold for $e(x, y) = \min(d(x, y), 1)$.

What's the point?

What's the point?

d and e may give very different distances for points which are far apart,

What's the point?

d and e may give very different distances for points which are far apart, but for close points they are exactly the same.

pages 15 − 16 of notes

What's the point?

d and e may give very different distances for points which are far apart, but for close points they are exactly the same.

Note that ideas such as convergence and continuity are expressed in terms of very small distances,

What's the point?

d and e may give very different distances for points which are far apart, but for close points they are exactly the same.

Note that ideas such as convergence and continuity are expressed in terms of very small distances, so to decide whether a sequence converges or a function is continuous we can equally well use either d or e.

pages 15 − 16 of notes

What's the point?

d and e may give very different distances for points which are far apart, but for close points they are exactly the same.

Note that ideas such as convergence and continuity are expressed in terms of very small distances, so to decide whether a sequence converges or a function is continuous we can equally well use either d or e.

(The metrics d and e are *equivalent*.)

What's the point?

d and e may give very different distances for points which are far apart, but for close points they are exactly the same.
Note that ideas such as convergence and continuity are expressed in terms of very small distances, so to decide whether a sequence converges or a function is continuous we can equally well use either d or e.
(The metrics d and e are *equivalent*.)

There's nothing special about the number 1 in this example: for any number $c > 0$, we could have defined $e(x, y) = \min(d(x, y), c)$.

What's the point?

d and e may give very different distances for points which are far apart, but for close points they are exactly the same.
Note that ideas such as convergence and continuity are expressed in terms of very small distances, so to decide whether a sequence converges or a function is continuous we can equally well use either d or e.
(The metrics d and e are *equivalent*.)

There's nothing special about the number 1 in this example: for any number $c > 0$, we could have defined $e(x, y) = \min(d(x, y), c)$.

Example g): The product metric

Example g): The product metric

Suppose that (X, d) and (Y, e) are both metric spaces. Then we can define a metric D on the product space $X \times Y$ by the formula

Example g): The product metric

Suppose that (X, d) and (Y, e) are both metric spaces. Then we can define a metric D on the product space $X \times Y$ by the formula

$$D((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) = d(x_1, x_2) + e(y_1, y_2).$$

(Distance between "X parts" plus distance between "Y parts".)

page 16 of notes

Example g): The product metric

Suppose that (X, d) and (Y, e) are both metric spaces. Then we can define a metric D on the product space $X \times Y$ by the formula

$$D((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) = d(x_1, x_2) + e(y_1, y_2).$$

(Distance between "X parts" plus distance between "Y parts".)

To show that this *is* a metric:

To show that this *is* a metric:

We assume that (X, d) and (Y, e) are metric spaces, so that conditions 1 to 3 hold for them:

To show that this *is* a metric:

We assume that (X, d) and (Y, e) are metric spaces, so that conditions 1 to 3 hold for them:

1. $d(x, x) = 0$, $d(x, y) > 0$ if $x \neq y$, $e(x, x) = 0$, $e(x, y) > 0$ if $x \neq y$.
2. $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$, $e(x, y) = e(y, x)$.
3. $d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(z, y)$, $e(x, y) \leq e(x, z) + e(z, y)$.

To show that this *is* a metric:

We assume that (X, d) and (Y, e) are metric spaces, so that conditions 1 to 3 hold for them:

1. $d(x, x) = 0$, $d(x, y) > 0$ if $x \neq y$, $e(x, x) = 0$, $e(x, y) > 0$ if $x \neq y$.
2. $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$, $e(x, y) = e(y, x)$.
3. $d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(z, y)$, $e(x, y) \leq e(x, z) + e(z, y)$.

We then have to show that these conditions also hold for
$D((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) = d(x_1, x_2) + e(y_1, y_2)$.

To show that this *is* a metric:

We assume that (X, d) and (Y, e) are metric spaces, so that conditions 1 to 3 hold for them:

1. $d(x, x) = 0$, $d(x, y) > 0$ if $x \neq y$, $e(x, x) = 0$, $e(x, y) > 0$ if $x \neq y$.
2. $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$, $e(x, y) = e(y, x)$.
3. $d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(z, y)$, $e(x, y) \leq e(x, z) + e(z, y)$.

We then have to show that these conditions also hold for
$D((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) = d(x_1, x_2) + e(y_1, y_2)$.

In fact, we can equally well use any of the formulae:

page 16 of notes

In fact, we can equally well use any of the formulae:

$$
\begin{aligned}
D((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) &= d(x_1, x_2) + e(y_1, y_2) \\
D((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) &= \sqrt{d(x_1, x_2)^2 + e(y_1, y_2)^2} \\
D((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) &= \max(d(x_1, x_2), e(y_1, y_2))
\end{aligned}
$$

page 16 of notes

In fact, we can equally well use any of the formulae:

$$
\begin{aligned}
D((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) &= d(x_1, x_2) + e(y_1, y_2) \\
D((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) &= \sqrt{d(x_1, x_2)^2 + e(y_1, y_2)^2} \\
D((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) &= \max(d(x_1, x_2), e(y_1, y_2))
\end{aligned}
$$

(as for the three metrics on $\mathbb{R}^2 = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ of examples a), b), and c)).

page 16 of notes

In fact, we can equally well use any of the formulae:

$$
\begin{aligned}
D((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) &= d(x_1, x_2) + e(y_1, y_2) \\
D((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) &= \sqrt{d(x_1, x_2)^2 + e(y_1, y_2)^2} \\
D((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) &= \max(d(x_1, x_2), e(y_1, y_2))
\end{aligned}
$$

(as for the three metrics on $\mathbb{R}^2 = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ of examples a), b), and c)).

We can define a similar metric on any finite product of metric spaces:

We can define a similar metric on any finite product of metric spaces:
if (X_1, d_1), (X_2, d_2), . . . , (X_n, d_n) are all metric spaces,

We can define a similar metric on any finite product of metric spaces: if (X_1, d_1), (X_2, d_2), . . . , (X_n, d_n) are all metric spaces, then we can define a metric D on

$$X_1 \times X_2 \times \cdots \times X_n$$

by either:

We can define a similar metric on any finite product of metric spaces: if (X_1, d_1), (X_2, d_2), . . . , (X_n, d_n) are all metric spaces, then we can define a metric D on

$$X_1 \times X_2 \times \cdots \times X_n$$

by either:

- Adding together the metrics $d_1, \ldots, d_n$.

We can define a similar metric on any finite product of metric spaces: if (X_1, d_1), (X_2, d_2), . . . , (X_n, d_n) are all metric spaces, then we can define a metric D on

$$X_1 \times X_2 \times \cdots \times X_n$$

by either:

- Adding together the metrics $d_1, \ldots, d_n$.

- Adding the squares of all the metrics, then taking the square root.

We can define a similar metric on any finite product of metric spaces: if (X_1, d_1), (X_2, d_2), . . . , (X_n, d_n) are all metric spaces, then we can define a metric D on

$$X_1 \times X_2 \times \cdots \times X_n$$

by either:

- Adding together the metrics $d_1, \ldots, d_n$.

- Adding the squares of all the metrics, then taking the square root.

- Taking the maximum of all the metrics.

We can define a similar metric on any finite product of metric spaces: if (X_1, d_1), (X_2, d_2), . . . , (X_n, d_n) are all metric spaces, then we can define a metric D on

$$X_1 \times X_2 \times \cdots \times X_n$$

by either:

- Adding together the metrics $d_1, \ldots, d_n$.

- Adding the squares of all the metrics, then taking the square root.

- Taking the maximum of all the metrics.