Qualitative marking descriptors for FHEQ level 7 projects

You should consider whether the mark you arrive at is in conformity with the following criteria. Please note that the total mark you, as second marker, give for the project (i.e. without the oral presentation, and without the supervisor's mark for initiative and diligence) is out of 80: the numbers in brackets indicate the borderlines rescaled to marks out of 80.

Please bear in mind that the pass mark for a level M project is 50%.

$\geq 90 \ (\geq 72)$	Little to criticise. Professional presentation, copious evidence of full understanding, independent reading and thinking. Contains interesting results or insights.
$\geq 80 \ (\geq 64)$	Outstandingly well organised with copious evidence of full understanding and
	showing independent reading, thinking and, where appropriate, calculations.
$\geq 70 \ (\geq 56)$	Well organised use of most expected material, with plentiful evidence of clear
	understanding.
$\geq 60 \ (\geq 48)$	Generally well organised use of most expected material, with convincing
	evidence of understanding.
$\geq 50 \ (\geq 40)$	Sensible use of some relevant material, with some evidence of understanding.
$\geq 40 \ (\geq 32)$	Includes at least some relevant material, limited evidence of understanding.
$\geq 35 \ (\geq 28)$	Material thin, poorly organised, with little evidence of understanding.
< 35 (< 28)	Very little material, unorganised, with practically no evidence of understanding.

Academic Integrity

Students and markers should be aware of the penalties and procedures associated with poor referencing, poor academic practice, plagiarism, copying, collusion, and fabrication: these can be found in the University's *Academic Integrity Policy*, linked from the Department's project web pages.

Version of May 2014