## Qualitative marking descriptors for FHEQ level 6 projects

You should consider whether the mark you arrive at is in conformity with the following criteria. Please note that the total mark you give for the project (i.e. without the oral presentation) is out of 90: the numbers in brackets indicate the borderlines rescaled to marks out of 90.

$\geq 90 \ (\geq 81)$	Little to criticise. Outstandingly well organised, with copious evidence of full understanding, independent reading and thinking.
$\geq 80 \ (\geq 72)$	Outstandingly well organised with copious evidence of full understanding.
$\stackrel{-}{\geq} 70 \stackrel{-}{(\geq} 63)$	Well organised use of most expected material, with plentiful evidence of clear
	understanding.
$\geq 60 \ (\geq 54)$	Generally well organised use of most expected material, with convincing
	evidence of understanding.
$\geq 50 \ (\geq 45)$	Sensible use of some relevant material, with some evidence of understanding.
$\geq 40 \ (\geq 36)$	Includes at least some relevant material, limited evidence of understanding.
$\geq 35 \ (\geq 31.5)$	Material thin, poorly organised, with little evidence of understanding.
$< 35 \ (< 31.5)$	Very little material, unorganised, with practically no evidence of understanding. $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$

## **Academic Integrity**

Students and markers should be aware of the penalties and procedures associated with poor referencing, poor academic practice, plagiarism, copying, collusion, and fabrication: these can be found in the University's *Academic Integrity Policy*, linked from the Department's project web pages.

Version of May 2014