Department of Mathematical Sciences

Evaluation Questionnaire for Peer Reviewers

Module Code: **Lecturer:**   **Reviewer:**

Date: **Time:**   **Room:**   **Approx No. in Class:** /

Rate each statement below according to the degree to which it was true of the lecture (E=Excellent, F=Fine, A=Action needed, P=Poor), or leave blank if there is insufficient evidence on which to form an opinion. Please include written explanations where statements are rated other than F.

The lecture was well structured	E	F	A	P
The lecture was well presented	E	F	A	P
The lecture seemed well prepared	E	F	A	P
The lecturer "set the scene" at the beginning of the lecture	E	F	A	P
The explanations given were clear	E	F	A	P
The amount of material covered was reasonable for the students	E	F	A	P
The displayed material was clearly visible and legible	E	F	A	P
The lecture was clearly audible	E	F	A	P
The blackboard and other aids were used effectively	E	F	A	P
The lecturer was responsive to the students	E	F	A	P
The lecturer looked at and talked to the lecture group	E	F	A	P
The lecturer highlighted the main points of each section well	E	F	A	P
The lecturer seemed interested in the subject	E	F	A	P
The students will have obtained a good set of notes from this lecture	E	F	A	P
The lecturer ensured that the noise level was acceptable	E	F	A	P

Additional comments (including points the lecturer should bear in mind in future, and comments on the handouts provided by the lecturer).

This form should not be returned to the lecturer until after the meeting of the Peer Review Group.