

Generic Small Animal Surgery Case Reports Rubric

CRITERIA	% WEIGHTING
Case details communicated clearly, concisely and logically	15%
Demonstration of pre-operative assessment, surgical planning, surgical anatomy and surgical technique and outcome to produce a concise surgery case report	30%
Discussion and critical reflection on case (including use of evidence where appropriate)	35%
Quality of EBVM and appropriate use of references.	10%
Overall attention to case report technique and construction including: scientific language and terminology, referencing format, spelling and grammar, adherence to word limits.	10%

Case details communicated clearly, concisely and logically						
Very Poor (0%)	Poor (20%)	Inadequate (40%)	Adequate (50%)	Good (65%)	Very Good (80%)	Excellent (100%)
Little or no attention to communication of the pertinent aspects of the case.	Not clearly or logically presented and/or; Details of presenting problem, historical and signalment factors, treatment and outcome omitted/disordered/illogical or waffling.	Inadequate communication of the main facts of the case. Some details of presenting problem, historical and signalment factors, treatment and outcome omitted or not well ordered, illogical or overly wordy.	Adequate communication of the main facts of the case including the presenting problem, historical and signalment factors, treatment and outcome relevant to the case. Information presented less logically, concisely, and candidate less able to discriminate between useful and extraneous information.	Good communication of the main facts of the case including the presenting problem, historical and signalment factors, treatment and outcome relevant to the case. Good ability to present information logically, concisely, discriminating between useful and extraneous information.	Very good communication of the main facts of the case including the presenting problem, historical and signalment factors, treatment and outcome relevant to the case. Very good ability to present information logically, concisely, discriminating between useful and extraneous information.	Excellent communication of the main facts of the case including the presenting problem, historical and signalment factors, treatment and outcome relevant to the case. Excellent ability to present information logically, concisely, discriminating between useful and extraneous information.

Demonstration of pre-operative assessment, surgical planning, surgical anatomy and surgical technique and outcome to produce a concise surgery case report						
Very Poor (0%)	Poor (20%)	Inadequate (40%)	Adequate (50%)	Good (65%)	Very Good (80%)	Excellent (100%)
<p>Very poor approach to the case. Demonstrated no pre-operative assessment, no surgical planning, no knowledge of surgical anatomy and technique. The report is not concise and did not detail any aspect of the outcome. Failed to incorporate factors associated with the individual patient, its owner and your own professional experience to guide objective decision making.</p>	<p>Poor approach to the case. Demonstrated poor pre-operative assessment, poor surgical planning, poor knowledge of surgical anatomy and technique. The report is not concise and poorly detailed aspects of the outcome. There was a poor attempt to incorporate factors associated with the individual patient, its owner and your own professional experience to guide objective decision making.</p>	<p>Inadequate approach to the case. Demonstrated inadequate pre-operative assessment, inadequate surgical planning, inadequate knowledge of surgical anatomy and technique. The report is concise but the aspects of the outcome detailed were inadequate. Managed to incorporate factors associated with the individual patient, its owner and your own professional experience to guide objective decision making but these were inadequate.</p>	<p>Adequate approach to the case. Demonstrated adequate pre-operative assessment, adequate surgical planning, adequate knowledge of surgical anatomy and technique. The report is concise and did detail adequate aspects of the outcome. Managed to adequately incorporate factors associated with the individual patient, its owner and your own professional experience to guide objective decision making.</p>	<p>Good approach to the case. Demonstrated good pre-operative assessment, good surgical planning, good knowledge of surgical anatomy and technique. The report is concise and showed good detail in aspects of the outcome. There was a good attempt to incorporate factors associated with the individual patient, its owner and your own professional experience to guide objective decision making.</p>	<p>Very good approach to the case. Demonstrated very good pre-operative assessment, very good surgical planning, very good knowledge of surgical anatomy and technique. The report is concise and showed very good detail in aspects of the outcome. There was a very good attempt to incorporate all factors associated with the individual patient, its owner and your own professional experience to guide objective decision making.</p>	<p>Excellent approach to the case. Demonstrated excellent pre-operative assessment, excellent surgical planning, excellent knowledge of surgical anatomy and technique. The report is concise and did detail all aspects of the outcome. Managed to incorporate all factors associated with the individual patient, its owner and your own professional experience to guide objective decision making.</p>
Discussion and critical reflection on case (including use of evidence where appropriate)						
Very Poor (0%)	Poor (20%)	Inadequate (40%)	Adequate (50%)	Good (65%)	Very Good (80%)	Excellent (100%)
<p>Discussion, critical reflection and use of the literature</p>	<p>Poor discussion of the case and/or; Poor ability to critically reflect on</p>	<p>Inadequate discussion of the case and/or;</p>	<p>Adequate discussion of the case. Adequate ability to critically</p>	<p>Good discussion of the case, incorporating additional references</p>	<p>Very good discussion of the case, incorporating additional references</p>	<p>Excellent discussion of the case, incorporating additional references</p>

absent, or unsuitable.	the case and what you learned from that case/or your subsequent review of the literature, and how you might incorporate that into your future practice and/or; Poor use of the literature.	Inadequate ability to critically reflect on the case and what you learned from that case/or your subsequent review of the literature, and how you might incorporate that into your future practice and/or; Inadequate use of the literature.	reflect on the case and what you learned from that case/or your subsequent review of the literature, and how you might incorporate that into your future practice. Adequate use of the literature.	from the literature to support clinical issues raised. Ability to critically reflect on the case and what you learned from that case/or your subsequent review of the literature, and how you might incorporate that into your future practice.	from the literature to support clinical issues raised. Ability to critically reflect on the case and what you learned from that case/or your subsequent review of the literature, and how you might incorporate that into your future practice.	from the literature to support clinical issues raised. Excellent ability to reflect on the case and what you learned from that case/or your subsequent review of the literature, and how you might incorporate that into your future practice.
Quality of EBVM and appropriate use of references						
Very Poor (0%)	Poor (20%)	Inadequate (40%)	Adequate (50%)	Good (65%)	Very Good (80%)	Excellent (100%)
No references cited	Few but poor quality resources cited such as Wikipedia or references from questionable secondary or tertiary sources.	Few references cited but either not relevant to the task or poor quality	Resources generally relevant to the task; some resources not of high quality where more suitable references are available(Use of secondary sources/ book chapters etc. where primary sources would have been preferable)	Good quality sources used, recent and seminal articles mostly relevant to task	Very good quality sources used; recent, seminal and peer reviewed almost always relevant to task.	Excellent quality of references used, recent and seminal peer-reviewed literature highly relevant to task.
Overall attention to case report technique and construction						
Very Poor (0%)	Poor (20%)	Inadequate (40%)	Adequate (50%)	Good (65%)	Very Good (80%)	Excellent (100%)
Very little overall attention to detail and construction e.g. very poor attention to detail in terminology,	Poor overall attention to detail and construction e.g. poor use of correct and precise terminology when	Inadequate overall attention to detail and construction e.g. in use of correct and precise	Adequate overall attention to detail and construction e.g. in use of correct and precise terminology when	Good overall attention to detail and construction e.g. in use of correct and precise terminology when describing	Very good overall attention to detail and construction e.g. in use of correct and precise terminology when describing	Excellent overall attention to detail and construction e.g. in use of correct and precise terminology when describing

language, spelling, or grammar and/or; Failing to address the specific requirements of the assignment.	describing lesions, anatomical locations, radiographic projections, medications etc.; Poor attention to detail in spelling and grammar; Poor reference formatting, not using the Harvard Style.	terminology when describing lesions anatomical locations, radiographic projections, medications etc.; Inadequate attention to detail in spelling and grammar or adherence to word limits; Inadequate reference formatting using the Harvard Style.	describing anatomical lesions, locations, radiographic projections, medications etc.; Adequate attention to detail in spelling and grammar and adherence to word limits; Adequate reference formatting using the Harvard Style.	lesions, anatomical locations, radiographic projections, medications etc. Good attention to detail in spelling and grammar and adherence to word limits; Good reference formatting using the Harvard Style.	lesions, anatomical locations, radiographic projections, medications etc. Very good attention to detail in spelling and grammar and adherence to word limits. Very good reference formatting using the Harvard Style.	lesions, anatomical locations, radiographic projections, medications etc. Excellent attention to detail in spelling and grammar and adherence to word limits; Excellent reference formatting using the Harvard Style.
--	---	--	---	---	---	---