ORAL: Oral presentation skills (effective communication)	
Fail	Pass
Inability to formulate a logical response to questions posed by the host. Inability to contribute clearly and logically to discussion of the paper(s) selected and/ or reflect on the paper(s) strengths and weaknesses.	Clear ability to respond to questions as required and present a clear and logical discussion of the papers selected.
WRITTEN: Framing an EBVM question	
Fail	Pass
Unable to frame a well-defined EBVMclinical question which is answerable from thepaper	A well-defined EBVM clinical question is framed, which is answerable from the paper
WRITTEN: Descriptions of the study design and methodology	
Fail	Pass
No understanding of the study design demonstrated (study type, population, randomisation, inclusion and exclusion criteria etc)	Understanding of the study design (study type, population, randomisation, inclusion and exclusion criteria etc)
WRITTEN: Critical appraisal of paper's validity, results, conclusions and applicability	
Fail	Pass
Poor or absent critical evaluation of the strengths and weakness of the paper, and validity, including methodological issues, analysis, results and conclusions. Failure to analyse whether the study will change the candidate's practice.	Good to excellent critical evaluation of the strengths and weakness of the paper and validity, including methodological issues, analysis, results and conclusions. Justification for whether the study will change the candidate's practice.
WRITTEN: Scientific writing and presentation	
Fail	Pass
Inadequate attention to detail in spelling and grammar, layout and terminology.	Good attention to detail in spelling and grammar, layout and terminology.